

**SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL – COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
MINUTES OF HYBRID* MEETING, Thursday, March 14, 2024**

Center for Spiritual Living, 17622 Chatsworth St., Granada Hills, CA 91344 and online
DRAFT Minutes [to be replaced by “Approved _[Month]_ _[date]_, 202_[year]_”]

Committee Members: Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), John Ciccarelli, Richard Fisk, Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Rep), Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian) and Jennifer Yoo.

A. Call to Order, Roll Call by Notetaker, and Approval of January 11, 2024 Minutes (Chair).

This *hybrid (both in-person and online) meeting of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Advisory Committee (SCL-CAC) was called to order at 3:00 p.m. on March 14, 2024 in Granada Hills, California and online by Chair Wayde Hunter. Roll Call was taken by the Note Taker. Five of the seven Committee Members were present in person: Jeanette Capaldi, (new Member) John Ciccarelli, Richard Fisk, Wayde Hunter and Debbie Pietraszko (all in person). Jennifer Yoo arrived later. Absent: Larry Fleck. Keren Waters had resigned. A quorum of at least five (there were five) Committee Members was present. Two Committee Member openings were available. Landfill and government representatives present: BFI/Republic Services: Michael Stewart; SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District): Gerardo Vergara, and Terrance Mann; SCL-LEA (Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency): Dee Hanson-Lugo; L.A. County Public Health Dept.: Seira Kurian; L.A. County Public Works Dept.: Miki Esposito and Amiko Thompson,; L.A. County Regional Planning Dept.: Steven Jareb; L.A. County District Three Supervisor Lindsey Horvath’s Office: Savannah Portillo Heap; L.A. County District Five Supervisor Kathryn Barger’s Office: Natalie Vartanian; L.A. City Councilmember John Lee’s Office: Sharon Bronson; L.A. City Planning Dept.: Claudia Rodriguez, Rhonda Owens and Tim Fargo; and LAUSD District 3: Andrew Modugno. Also attended in person and online: at least 16 residents and other guests. A Balance Sheet, Reconciliation Detail and other related SCL-CAC and Landfill documents were available in person and at www.scl-cac.org.

No corrections or amendments were made to the Minutes.

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Pietraszko): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the Minutes of its January 11, 2024 Meeting as written.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a roll call vote of the five eligible voters present with all five in favor (“Yes” or “Aye”) (Capaldi, Ciccarelli, Fisk, Hunter and Pietraszko); zero opposed; zero abstained.

B. Old Business: Discussion and Committee motions & possible action:

- Outstanding administrative matters (Chair/Vice Chair). Reschedule officer elections to May meeting.

Mr. Hunter and Ms. Capaldi indicated that they had no report.

- Treasurer's Report, January 2024 - February 2024 (Treasurer).

Mr. Hunter reviewed the "SCL-CAC FINANCIAL STATEMENT 01/01/2024 - 02/29/2024." The "Business Checking Account (for Expenses) 1234" beginning balance was \$553.24; \$6,696.98 was received in that account from account #9514; four checks totaling \$1,150.99 were written on that account. Total deductions were that \$1,150.99; the ending balance was \$6,099.23. The "Business Savings Account (for Consultants) 1582" beginning balance was \$61,458.24; \$1.04 in interest was accrued; the ending balance was \$61,459.28. The "Business Checking Account (for Consultants) 9514" beginning balance was \$36,696.98; \$6,696.98 was transferred to Acct #1234. Republic funding for 2024 in the amount of \$26,000.00 was received; the ending balance was \$56,000.00. There was \$100 in Petty Cash. There was \$0 in Other Assets. The total beginning balance for all accounts as of January 1, 2024 was \$98,809.50; debits were \$7,847.97 and deposits were \$32,696.98; the total ending balance for all accounts was \$123,658.51 as of February 29, 2024 per Mr. Fleck and Mr. Hunter.

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Mr. Fisk): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the January 2024 - February 2024 Treasurer's Report as presented.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a roll call vote of the five eligible voters present with all five in favor ("Yes" or "Aye") (Capaldi, Ciccarelli, Fisk, Hunter and Pietraszko); zero opposed; zero abstained.

New Business. Discussion and possible Committee motions & action to address the following:

- 1. Browning-Ferris Industries/Republic Services Inc. (BFI/Republic)** to report on all Sunshine Canyon Landfill daily activities, state of infrastructure/gas collection system, notable events including NOVs, current and future disposal operations, impacts from wet weather and continuing efforts to abate odors.

Michael Stewart, General Manager, BFI/Republic [24-hour Landfill hotline 818-779-9170; main 818-362-2124; Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com; <http://SunshineCanyonLandfill.com>] gave an SCL "Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Update" as follows:

"Today, I know that the most important topic for our community and our regulatory stakeholders are odors, and what the landfill is doing to mitigate them. We know the landfill has had some very challenging months from an odor perspective, and I

understand how important this is and want to make sure you all have a good understanding of the activities taking place to address them.

In January we had 204 odor complaints, with 9 Notices of violation. While there was a slight decrease in number of overall complaints relative to last January, the number of NOVs did increase.

February also saw an increase in odor complaints 474 and NOVs 17, we understand it was a very rough month, and is one that we don't want to repeat.

1. Wet Weather Preparedness - We've talked a lot about wet weather preparedness, and what the site is doing to proactively get ready for rain events. We spent a lot of time last year working with the LEA, as well as other regulatory partners like Department of Public Works and AQMD to plan on how to best prepare the site for the rainy weather. That plan was submitted and approved by the LEA last October and was a culmination of this joint effort. We did everything outlined in that plan. For most of the winter months, our berms, wet weather deck and roads, and drainage features (all the requirements in the plan) held up very well. With the exception of the storm on 2/4/24-2/7/24 – which was about 15 inches of rain in a 2- or 3-day period, the site was doing well. We were safely getting traffic in and out and moving as much volume out of the transfer station network as possible. That last big storm did impact the site in terms of some erosions and exposed trash which wasn't safe for SCL to cover immediately and that may have led to some odor issues. Thankfully, we had no major mudslides like many other parts of LA, and the damage to the landfill cover was minimal compared to last year. In terms of preparing the site physically for rain – we committed to a series of improvements and operations – and we did all those things to make sure the site was physically prepared to withstand the rain.
2. Systemic gas system improvements – Rain can impact landfill gas collection efficiency. Sometimes rain events can highlight our less effective areas of the gas system. This is what happened last year, so we made extensive improvements to the system by installing 78 vertical landfill gas collection wells, and ~8,000 LF of horizontal gas collectors and new pumps to remove water from our vertical wells. We saw those improvements beginning to yield some good results, and the number of gas odor complaints was declining at the end of last year. Unfortunately, these rains have also been revealing more opportunities for areas of improvement. We are taking those opportunities now to complete a further expansion of the gas system and have plans to install another 70+ wells, horizontal collectors, header pipes, and pump/liquids infrastructure. The landfill is a dynamic and ever-changing construction operation, so we'll always need to be completing these improvements, but what we've done is make sure we've allocated enough resources this year to continue to improve on our collection efficiency and expand the system.

3. Wet garbage and the waste network challenges:

One thing we don't have control of is the traffic challenges during inclement weather, which we know can cause delays in moving material out of the transfer station network, whether the transfer vans take their load to Sunshine or other disposal facilities. In situations like these we had in Feb. the entire transfer network can become backed up and take a couple of weeks to clear waste that has accumulated in the transfer stations in the City and County. We've heard from several of our community and regulatory stakeholders' suggestions or recommendations to divert tonnage, reduce tonnage and things of that sort. We have tried several of these strategies, including diverting tonnage in the morning, altering our tipping schedules and disposal practices in the morning hours, and what we have found so far is that they are not effective in reducing the number of complaints.

Here is what we are currently doing to improve the situation.

We've met with the SCL LEA, who also got feedback from a third-party expert on additional odor mitigation measures. The SCL-LEA also solicited feedback from LA DPW as well as other stakeholders. We've put in motion all eight of the LEA's recommendations.

- We've pulled forward our gas construction events as quickly as the weather will allow. This will give us more collection points. This construction will start in April once the rainy weather has subsided.
- We're making enhancements to the overall cover of the landfill, which can include increasing soil thickness, or placing Posi-Shell by ACS in other areas, and this will allow us to utilize the gas system more efficiently in these areas. This process is driving the design for the gas system construction events planned.
- We're exploring the use of alternative technologies for identifying potential surface emissions in more real-time and employing practical applications which will also help us better target areas for gas system expansion. Drone technology is what we are using right now, and we are working with some companies to develop some AI technology right now, which in the future, will allow for nighttime monitoring in times that we are not able to monitor where its safe.
- We've incorporated gabion cubes into the cell to be constructed this year, which will help with immediate gas collection in the new waste that it will receive without waiting to drill wells in these areas.
- We'll also be installing horizontal collection devices as we fill to ensure there is always gas collection in these new areas of waste
- In terms of trash odors from the working face, we are continuing to develop and implement the drop yard program.
- The Odor neutralizer company we utilize has developed an additive to the neutralizer at the working face with a foaming agent and we started using that

material on March 11, and we'll continue to collect data on the effectiveness of this product.

- We have expanded and enhanced the on-site vapor odor neutralizer system by installing an additional section of pipe at City South to concentrate chemical usage at the areas where odor is most often detected on-site.
- We are currently in the process of modifying the portable fan-trailer units to cover a larger area by adding ~1,000 ft of piping (wings). The target date for completion of this overhaul is 3/15.

Now I'm going to give a very brief overview of the recent construction and operational activities at the landfill and happy to expand on that during questions, but I wanted to make sure we had enough time devoted to discussing odors.

Operations

- We continued to utilize cell CC-4-P4A/B/C. The wet weather deck was utilized this winter.

Construction

- We continue to construct Phase 4 of the Front Entrance Toe Berm and will continue through 2025.
- Cell construction is planned for Spring/Summer of 2024.
- Disposal operations will continue in the existing remaining constructed airspace until Q3 once the cell CC5 Part A construction is complete.
- The air quality monitoring station in City South has been relocated to the desired location of Sonoma Tech and is fully operational at this time."

Mr. Stewart added that "I know that the most important thing for our community is odors . . . and the activities taking place to address them." He noted the many complaints.

Committee Member Jennifer Yoo arrived in person at this time (3:10), making six Committee Members present in person (the SCL-CAC quorum is five).

Mr. Fisk asked, "where do you (Republic) primarily get the extra cover soil from, and do you do anything different when it is windy like this?" Mr. Stewart responded that "our daily cover is all imported from offsite from various construction activities; all the sites have been tested to make sure the soil is clean, (taken) from various parts of Los Angeles County; we have various stockpiles of soil onsite where we store the soil for days like this; windy days like today, we are still able to utilize our alternate daily cover and it remains in place; essentially the plastic film that we place down with a small amount of soil ballast can withstand 40 mph winds... so nothing special has to be in place in terms of cover.... When it's wet we essentially take nothing (dirt)... on a day such as today (no rain) 2,000 tons."

Mr. Hunter asked, "how are they (Republic) dealing with long-haul and the laydown in the wet weather?" Mr. Stewart replied that "when we started the project (laydown) in December... we are still in the process of developing it; wet weather is not the time to grow; we don't have the number of trucks that we would like in that 10 to 15 truck realm, but what we have found being on the wet weather deck, that we are running out of space... ..so we are looking to relocate that drop-yard, and continuing to work with vendors to procure the number of trailers that we need so that we can be at the 20 to 30 trailer mark by April or May (2024)... we are trying to utilize that time between 3 and 5 p.m."

Mr. Hunter noted that marijuana was being dumped and that Republic had the necessary documentation. He questioned what "other substances" were noted on one of the police reports from December or January, as there were restrictions on the landfill accepting toxic substances; Mr. Stewart replied that they only accepted marijuana. Mr. Hunter asked for confirmation as to what the other substance were, and if indeed Republic had rejected them.

Resident Ralph Kroy stated that "I'm a Rocketdyne retiree and I keep track of what is happening at the Santa Susanna Field Lab, and they have 1,700 heavy truckloads of dirt (contaminated) to dispose of, and was Republic planning to get any it?" Mr. Stewart asked if it was in LA County, to which Mr. Kroy replied that it was in LA County and Ventura County. Mr. Stewart then replied that "I don't think we are; it's not ringing a bell; I will have to check with our special representative."

Mr. Kroy stated that "most of the calls from the citizens below the landfill (for odors) are when we have a relatively low or minor air flow called laminar air flow, and it (odors) follows it (air flow) to the ground; have you done anything to put vents or anything to make it a turbulent airflow at low velocity?" Mr. Stewart replied that "those approaches had been made with orchard fans at the site by pushing the air up, but what we have done to adjust those air flows is the adjustment to the vapor lines that I talked about, that we started this week that instead of have just one level on a certain level, we have several levels to make sure that all of the gaps are covered. As far as turbulence, no, but we have adjusted for that flow piece that you are talking about." Mr. Stewart said that Republic was willing to try the measures (vents) Mr. Kroy spoke of, and that maybe they could get together.

A resident said that he had never filed an odor complaint, but believed the number of complaints is far below the extent of the problem; that O'Melveny Park is "basically unusable" because of the odors; that Republic is trying to solve the problem with things they have already tried in the past; that trash from Chiquita Canyon Landfill could end up at Sunshine Canyon Landfill; and asked, "is the problem solvable?" Mr. Stewart stated that "it is definitely a problem that can be solved... ..we have all the company support that we need to fund, to get this going because we know it's not sustainable to keep going with a situation with this many odor complaints; our goal is zero complaints...." Resident Eric Pfefferman

stated that “we have been hearing from BFI/Republic that the weather, the precipitation, and things you don’t have control over ...yet you never mention that the dump itself is rising all the time because there is 9,000 tons of trash plus the 2,000 tons of dirt nearly every single day...” Mr. Stewart replied that “it can definitely be a piece of it ...we have 20 years of capacity left for this landfill, unfortunately it is up in the higher regions of the landfill, so we are going to have to find a way to get the problem solved.”

A resident asked “how tall can the dump get, are we going to have Mount Saint. Sunshine....?” Mr. Stewart replied that “there are limits at the top as well, and there are parts of County side that are already at grade.. and I can’t remember exactly what that grade is.” Mr. Hunter interrupted with “it will be 2,004 feet high inside the canyon, basically a little bit east of the City/County line; there are supposed to be markers in place for perimeter and stuff like that, and yes, the elevations are set, and yes, it is a mountain going up inside the canyon.”

Mike Mohajer, private citizen, who wanted to know if “Republic was measuring oxygen and temperature at the extraction wells monitoring stations?” Mr. Stewart responded “absolutely, and yes, the information was available to the public.” Mr. Hunter requested, and Mr. Stewart agreed to provide landfill temperature data.

Mr. Mohajer then asked if Republic had received an OK through the regulations from the regulatory agency that you can dispose of marijuana and “other substances” at the landfill. Mr. Stewart said “yes, I believe I forwarded that information to Mr. Hunter.” Mr. Hunter replied that they were “included in the monthly reports... police reports and your (Republic) reports were included; ...the December and January reports have that information; Look at December, it was horrendous the number of trips that they (police) were making.” Mr. Mohajer continued that did not answer his request and wanted to know specifically what agency. Mr. Stewart thought it was the LEA but did not know for sure. Mr. Mohajer said that the CAC should request the written “formal authorization” from the County Board of Supervisors, LA City Council, or the Sunshine Canyon LEA that authorizes Republic to take hazardous substances, and maybe the DTSC (Dept. of Toxics and Substance Control) as well. Also, he knows that there is a paperwork requirement that follows because the CUP (Conditional Use Permit) authorizes the Board of Supervisors to authorize “other materials” if the proposal comes from the LEA and County Public Works. Mr. Stewart agreed that he would be able to provide an approved special waste profile that is the written permission that we have to take (the waste).

Ms. Pietraszko said that what Mr. Mohajer is asking for is written proof that governmental agencies are authorizing the Landfill to take it. It’s not just the LEA, it’s whatever the CUP agencies allow that to do; it’s not that he doesn’t believe, that he just wants to see it in writing, he wants to see the paper trail. Mr. Mohajer agreed, and Mr. Hunter again restated the intent was that Mr. Mohajer had asked

two specific things based on the CUP, and that it should have Board of Supervisors approval, and it should have City Council approval.

Resident Bill Cotter reported that Republic had stated that rains and complaints last year were a one-off, giant surprise, despite the fact that the weather had been forecast well in advance, and (they said) that things are going to get better; in the last year a 300% increase in the number of complaints made to the AQMD, and a higher number of violations. So now we are hearing again that the rain was a surprise even though it was forecasted well in advance, and we are going to get better; my real concern is what are the numbers going to be at the end of this year (2024); I would also like to point out the situation with the AQMD and the NOVs, that they can only issue an NOV if they come out and get a certain number of people at a certain period of time and they verify a certain number, but when I am leaving my house at 8:00 in the morning and it stinks to high heaven and I have called the AQMD, they are not going to verify it because I am not going to be home, and that if the AQMD could come out without seeing him at his door the numbers (of NOVs) would be astronomical. Also, you mention vaporizers and they are sometimes the reason people call; you flood the neighborhood with a Febreze odor and that's bad. He concluded with the fact that we had limits on height, tonnage and hours, but that those can be changed, and that we have seen over and over by the agencies that the garbage has to go somewhere, and people don't want to address where it goes, so let's just change (increase) the limits (at SCL).

- 2. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)** to report on odor complaints received and NOVs issued including any year-to-date charts, frequency of Inspector response to reports, any enforcement actions to abate odors, and any other matters within their purview

Gerardo Vergara, a Compliance Inspector, SCAQMD [909-396-2179; GVergara@aqmd.gov; www.aqmd.gov], reported that, in January 2024, around 200 complaints were made; nine NOVs [Notices of Violation] were issued and 1 dust complaint. In February, around 480 complaints were made; 17 NOVs were issued. From March 1st to March 13th around 145 complaints were made; five NOVs were issued.

Mr. Hunter asked that, because Mr. Vergara had been up there, how did he break down the reasons for the odors by month, for instance February. Mr. Vergara responded that in the morning they get more landfill trash odors, and during nighttime and evening hours, more landfill classification (gas) odors. Mr. Hunter asked, "if when he was up there did he see anything amiss; are they running their regular operations or dumping off of their wet weather deck?" Mr. Vergara said that "while he could not speak for the other Inspectors, that operations were normal, that while he has issued his own violations, he had seen staff picking up trash on rainy days and/or weekends and observed the landfill on their wet weather deck." Mr. Hunter pointed out that "he had asked the question because

normal operations, they're doing what they do, and yet, we're getting a terrific amount of odors; in other words, they cannot operate the way that they normally do because the odors are just going to be there... ..they cannot operate this business without being a public nuisance. Is that correct?" Mr. Vergara said that he could not comment on that, but Mr. Hunter persisted in saying that if the operation were normal and yet they are (still) a public nuisance, and that it could not continue; he expressed surprise that there was a complaint or NOV issued yesterday (12th) when it was windy and not the normal ideal conditions of 3 – 4 mph... ..but when the winds are normally what they are, and they are doing their regular operations, you're telling me that there are going to be violations there from the odors?" Mr. Vergara again demurred. Mr. Pfefferman stated that February (2024) was a record for complaints and NOVs, and asked, "when was an Order for Abatement going to be issued by the AQMD?"

Terrance Mann, AQMD Deputy Executive Officer for Compliance and Enforcement, said "the office of Compliance and Enforcement reports to me and that includes our Inspectors who respond to complaints in the field. With respect to the odor complaints and the NOVs I would agree that the numbers speak for themselves in terms of the numbers of complaint numbers reflected and NOVs reflected are higher than any others that appear on this chart. I would notice that the community is much more aware of us now than they were at some point, and that gentleman's comment about not submitting complaints when this process began, barely anybody knew who we were; and now I think our outreach has been more successful. I would also note that our issuance of NOVs is much easier now than it was back then in terms of - we have cut away a lot of the red tape, a lot of the paperwork. For those of you who've been around a while you remember those DA forms that you had to wait around for and sign, we did away with those in collaboration with our Legal Department. In addition, at schools now, we've changed our enforcement policy; and so, in the past we would need six adults, the same way you would need six adults in the community to confirm odors in order to issue a violation; we realized that really didn't make sense; the whole point was protecting children; if there are children present, even one adult can be their proxy . . . We can confirm an odor with that adult.... With respect to the Abatement Order, we are in a similar position to the last time we spoke which is that we are not going to come up with solutions that no one in the industry is aware of, and so at this point we are working with the LEA, its consultants, and those who deal with these operations every day... ..if we had sought an Order for Abatement those exact same potential solutions (as previously mentioned by Mr. Stewart) today . . . We pushed the landfill to do them right away; some things may be working a little, some things aren't, and we are moving on to the next thing; our investigation is continuing ...if we have a solution that the landfill is not willing to pursue, we will not hesitate to seek an Order for Abatement..."

A resident suggested that an Internet app be available that communicates complaint times and locations to the AQMD. Mr. Mann said the AQMD has an app for reporting, and is currently working on geolocation as well.

Mr. Kroy asked “is it possible to get an Inspector who lived closer to the landfill as it takes quite a bit of time between reporting and an Inspector acknowledging it, and because the winds have changed, and the smell is gone?” Mr. Mann said he totally agreed, and that is why we often post up an Inspector at 7 a.m. during the week or any day actually, that we are concerned about odor based on wind patterns; so, we have people start their day there ...sometimes on the weekends when we shift to our standby program or after-hours program, we try to use people that are closer... but sometimes we have to use people who live elsewhere in the jurisdiction and it takes them longer... also, our recruitment efforts have been looking at CSUN and other educational institutions that are closer to Sunshine Canyon and Chiquita Canyon.”

Mr. Ciccarelli acknowledged that this was his first meeting and stated that “in lay terms that it is so dramatic, that from 2018 to 2021, that the complaints were pretty minimal, and in the last three or four years a dramatic rise; is it because of the extra rains we had last year – is there a layman’s probable answer why?” Mr. Mann acknowledged that, in 2022, “the rains started to play a bigger role,” noting that there was “a drastic increase” in rainfall. He reported that “gas collection systems were flooded with water.” He acknowledged that the inability to use one’s property is “the essence of public nuisance” and said that “from time to time,” the AQMD “conducts monitoring.”

- 3. Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency (SCL-LEA)** to report on any areas of concern, NOV’s issued, and any other SCL matters within their purview.

Dee Hanson-Lugo, this year’s SCL-LEA Program Manager [213-252-3932; DLugo@ph.LACounty.gov; www.SCLLEA.org], described their role and noted that their next Board meeting will be “in the last week in May . . . as a hybrid meeting.” She noted the heavy rains’ strong impact on the landfill and explained actions taken to hold BFI accountable. All trash should be covered by ADC [Alternative Daily Cover] at each day’s end. The LEA issued three NOV’s to BFI in February. The LEA will work to amend the Wet Weather Preparedness Plan.

- 4. Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD)** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Andrew Modugno, Environmental Assessment Coordinator, LAUSD Ofc. of Environmental Health & Safety (OEHS) [213-241-3926; Andrew.Modugno@lausd.net], reported that all complaints to the LAUSD were logged, and all complaints were for odors.

- 5. County Public Works** to report on any SCL matters within their purview to include update of any actions to enforce compliance with the CUP.

Miki Esposito, Assistant Administration Director, L.A. County Department of Public Works Environmental Programs Division, [626-458-4019; MEsposito@dpw.LACounty.gov; www.dpw.LACounty.gov], said “we are wholly focused on odor.” Mr. Fisk requested that County Public Works work with L.A. City Public Works to fix San Fernando Road; Ms. Esposito agreed “to bring it back.” She said about the odors’ impact on the community, “this is not normal.”

6. County Regional Planning Department to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Steven Jareb, Principal Planner, L.A. County Regional Planning Dept. [213-974-6483; SJareb@planning.LACounty.gov; <http://planning.LACounty.gov>], explained their role in processing Permit applications. He noted that marijuana, in the Conditional Use Permit, is not listed explicitly as a hazardous material or in Title 22 of the LA County Zoning, and we would defer that question to the County agency, I would assume the LEA or CalRecycle. We meet regularly as a group and what we plan to do since this question has come up four, five, six times, we plan to work together to get very clear response to that and to add it to our FAQ and post it to our website well before the next CAC (meeting), and we will bring a copy.” In response to question from Mr. Hunter he stated that the response was not generic but would be specific to Sunshine Canyon Landfill.

7. County Public Health Department to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Seira Kurian, of the L.A. County Public Health Dept. [626.430.9821; <http://publichealth.LACounty.gov>], explained their role and said that “if you are experiencing any health effects, please contact us . . . We are involved . . . in attendance at all [County] meetings.” Mr. Pfefferman explained that he’s repeatedly complained to County Public Health about negative health impacts, including noting other bronchial impacts to his neighbors. Ms. Kurian encouraged people to call in with their complaints since they had not received any others since July (2023), saying “these are serious issues and we are by no means saying that these are not concerns... medical issues will persist, the underlying issue being the odor issues... we need to address this issue, we need to get this under control... for sure, all of this reinforces that.”

8. City Planning Department to report on new air quality monitoring contract, and any SCL matters within their purview.

Claudia Rodriguez, Planner, L.A. City Planning Dept. (818-374-9911; Claudia.Rodriguez@LACity.org; www.planning.LACity.org), described an expanded testing process; upcoming testing will have three monitoring stations. She said, “we’re definitely moving in that direction” and that testing requests can go to any Planning staff.

9. Other persons representing the City, County or State who wish to report any additional information or subject matter relating to SCL that is within their purview that has not been agendized for this meeting. If necessary, discussion and action will be agendized for another meeting.

Savannah Heap, a Field Deputy for L.A. County District Three Supervisor Lindsey Horvath (213-440-0927; SHeap@bos.LACounty.gov; LindseyHorvath.LACounty.gov), reported that they are “disappointed by the NOV’s . . . We have a monthly intergovernmental group . . . People can always contact us.” Natalie Vartanian, a Field & Legislative Deputy for L.A. County District Five Supervisor Kathryn Barger [213-974-5555; KathrynBarger.LACounty.gov], introduced herself. Sharon Bronson, a Field Deputy for L.A. City District 12 Councilmember John Lee (818-882-1212; Sharon.Bronson@LACity.org; www.CD12.org), reported that Councilmember Lee sponsored City Council File #CF 24-0254 regarding odor health impact; he “hears you and is taking these complaints seriously.”

D. Committee Member and Public comments on items NOT on the Agenda.

Guest Glenn Bailey noted some Landfill history.

E. Set next meeting date (May 9, 2024). **Adjourn meeting** if 5:00 p.m. for City, County and State participants.

Mr. Hunter noted the above.

F. Special Overtime Discussion of Budget, Banking & Financial Matters.

Mr. Hunter indicated that there was no more discussion.

Mr. Hunter declared, and the Committee agreed to **ADJOURN** the Meeting at 4:59 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Levin, Note Taker (Minutes Writer). Edited by SCL-CAC. *The first paragraph of some Items, Motions/Resolutions and other wording may have been directly copied from the Agenda.* The SCL-CAC Meeting Minutes page is <http://scl-cac.org/agendas-minutes>.