

SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL – COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
MINUTES OF MEETING, Wednesday, November 10, 2021
Approved January 13, 2022

In conformity with the Governor’s Executive Order N-29-20 (March 17, 2020) and due to concerns over COVID-19, this meeting was conducted online and telephonically.

Members: Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), Laine Caspi (Secretary), Richard Fisk, Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Rep), Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian), Keren Waters and Dr. Donna Zero.

A. Call to Order, Roll Call by Notetaker, and Approval of September 9, 2021, Minutes (Chair).

This meeting of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Advisory Committee (SCL-CAC) was called to order at 3:02 p.m. on November 10, 2021 online by Chair Wayde Hunter. Roll Call was taken by the Note Taker. Seven of the eight Committee Members were present: Jeanette Capaldi, Laine Caspi, Richard Fisk, Wayde Hunter, Debbie Pietraszko, Keren Waters and Dr. Donna Zero. Absent: Larry Fleck. A quorum of at least five Committee Members was present. One Committee Member opening was available. Landfill and government representatives present: BFI/Republic Services: Chris Coyle, Kim Pena ; SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District): Larry Israel, J. Chang; SCL-LEA (Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency): Dave Thompson and Dee Hanson-Lugo; L.A. County Public Health Dept.: none; L.A. County Public Works Dept.: Coby Skye; L.A. County Regional Planning Dept.: Edgar De La Torre and Diana Gonzalez; L.A. County Supervisor Kathryn Barger’s Office: Jason Maruca; L.A. City Councilman John Lee’s Office: Sharon Bronson; L.A. City Planning Dept.: Devon Zatorski; and LAUSD District 3: Bill Piazza. Also attended: approximately six residents and other guests.

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Waters): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the Minutes of its September 9, 2021 Meeting as written.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

B. Old Business: Discussion and Committee motions & possible action:

- Outstanding administrative matters (Chair/Vice Chair).
Mr. Hunter noted that the July-August Treasurer’s Report was revised; an adjustment was made regarding Check #1209 for PO Box 412 for \$180 written on August 10th that was not cashed until September. [See the below Motion.]
- Treasurer’s Report, September 2021 – October 2021 (Treasurer).

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Capaldi): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the revised July 2021 - August 2021 Treasurer’s Report as presented.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

Mr. Hunter reviewed the September–October report. The “Business Checking Account (for Expenses)” beginning balance was \$8,763.47. Four checks were written on that account: #1209 for \$180 for PO Box rental; \$130 for May meeting Minutes writing; \$250 for July meeting Minutes writing; and an out-of-pocket expense of \$179.88 for reimbursement to Mr. Hunter for the Adobe Reader DC one-year program. Total deductions were \$739.88; the ending balance was \$8,023.59.

The “Business Savings Account (for Consultants)” beginning balance was \$107,511.69; \$1.76 in interest was accrued; the ending balance was \$107,513.45.

The “Business Checking Account (for Consultants)” beginning and ending balances both were \$8,096.63. Remaining unchanged were Petty Cash of \$100.00 and Other Assets of \$682.00.

The total beginning balance for all accounts as of September 1, 2021 was \$125,153.79; debits were \$739.88, deposits were \$1.76. The total ending balance for all accounts was \$124,415.67 as of October 31, 2021 per Treasurer Larry Fleck and Mr. Hunter.

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Capaldi): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the September 2021 – October 2021 Treasurer’s Report as presented.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

C. New Business. Discussion and possible Committee motions and action to address the following:

- 1. Browning-Ferris Industries/Republic Services Inc. (BFI/Republic)** to report on any and all Sunshine Canyon Landfill daily activities, state of infrastructure/gas collection system, notable events, current and future disposal operations, including continuing efforts to abate odors.

Chris Coyle, General Manager, BFI/Republic [24-hour Landfill hotline 818-779-9170; main 818-362-2124; Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com; <http://SunshineCanyonLandfill.com>], provided a background to the odor patrols and Republic’s thinking/commitment. He introduced new Environmental Specialist Kim Pena, who briefly described her educational qualifications and that she will perform daily odor patrols. Mr. Coyle gave an SCL “Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Update” and reported regarding “Operations: There have been no changes to our disposal operation or location, and we continue to utilize cell CC-4. We continue to put most of the waste into CC4-Part 4A with the remainder of the waste going into Part 3. This allows us to keep the larger of the two working faces low and back in the canyon. We continue to use the Enviro-Cover for ADC and monitor its performance daily.

“Construction: We had a nice storm roll in on October 25th. Rain total for the day was 1.24-inches. We also had some rain on October 4th of 0.16-inch and the storm on the 25th actually started hitting on the 24th in which we received 0.19-inches of rain. Total rain so far this season is 1.59-inches. Just want to remind everybody last year, we barely got over six inches of rain in the 2020-2021 rainy season ... We also took our first stormwater sample for the season and the water was nice and clear, and we are keeping all those solids on-site... our discharge was nice and clean. We did get the Fall/Winter Outlook for this season, and it is calling for a La Niña weather pattern, which means a colder, drier season for Southern California while Northern California will experience a warmer, wetter rainy season . . . As far as the rain event, the site held up very well. No blowouts or mass erosions. We got to work immediately and made what repairs we needed to the site in quick fashion. We have received our grading permits for Phase 1 & 2 for the Toe Berm project. An important project to enhance our odor controls on-site as well as reduce the visual impact of looking up the canyon . . .

“The contractor is currently installing the permanent drainage structures including the new sedimentation basin above our terminal basin. We began construction of the new cell with the first step being relocating the maintenance shop to a temporary location. We are working and waiting on permits from the County DPW and Planning to do the earthwork for the permanent relocation for the maintenance shop. As for Odor Complaints, October was a little bit rougher than September with the heat and inconsistent winds. We did receive two NOV’s [Notices of Violation] from AQMD for nuisance odors in October, and one in September but I will let Larry (Israel) get into that. We continue our increased odor patrols. We added Kim (Pena) and we are adding three more people to work that odor patrol. We are actively managing the working face to reduce or eliminate the potential for odors to impact the neighborhood. The winds have been frustrating and continue to mostly blow favorably from late morning into the afternoon but in the early morning have been extremely fickle, flipping back and forth on us for a couple hours before they finally settle to favorable.”

“Since the beginning of the year, as far as gas (well) construction goes, we have installed 57 vertical landfill gas wells, and some of them have been larger wells (10” wells) to increase our flow. The wells size out there are four to six inches, so a 10-inch well is a big one. We have also installed horizontal collectors, as well as upgraded our header that has been installed around the active area CC4 Part 3. We have installed 14 pumps in those new wells to increase gas flow, and we are going to install an additional 22 (pumps) before the year’s end where our total pumps out in the field are over 250 to 260 pumps. The construction of the extension to the header along the West perimeter has been completed and we expect to see increased vacuum supply. Seven 4-inch wellheads will be installed in the next month to allow additional collection on high producing wells. We continue to move through the permitting process for the installation of Flare 12 with an expected install completion target of 2022, pending approvals.”

Mr. Fisk asked if Mr. Coyle could describe how they had found hazardous waste in their waste stream as he was impressed with them finding two batteries. Mr.

Coyle started by saying that “every employee is trained in our (Hazardous) Waste Exclusion Program... operators sitting in the equipment, spotters on the ground, even himself... we are all trained in household hazardous waste (and) what is acceptable and what is not... so we are always going around looking. It starts with the front gate with signs posted or at the scales where scale-house personnel are trained to question to contents of their load. If there is anything questionable they will call it out to the spotter or Supervisor who will connect with that customer and discuss what they have in their load and determine if it is acceptable or not. If it is not acceptable we will have them take it away with them. More important is our Load Check Program. We are required to do a number of load checks on the number of tons (of trash) that we receive on a daily basis. The program is pretty basic as described in our JTD (Joint Technical Document). We require the driver to do a little extra work and spread the load out for us, and visually inspect the load and if we see HHW (Household Hazardous Waste) in there and pull it out... we have been going over and beyond the requirements of the JTD and if we see any plastic bags we will break them open to see if there is anything in there, however, it is not required by our Operating Permits... if anything hazardous is found we create a secure perimeter around it, and somebody that is properly trained/suited goes in to remove it. In some cases, we have to call certified contractors to come out and take that stuff away...”

Mr. Hunter inquired about a second sed (sedimentary) basin mentioned by Mr. Coyle as being built in conjunction with the toe berm and what its location and purpose is. Mr. Coyle said that it is complimentary to the terminal sediment basin and that it will replace more capacity than what will be lost due to construction. Water from the Western storm water channel will be divided over the course of construction with a portion going under the berm. The basin will be located south of San Fernando Road just about where the SoCal gas odor station is, and it will be a full concrete lined basin. Mr. Hunter said that he did not remember the sedimentation redesign when the toe berm was presented to the public, however, Mr. Coyle assured him that it was always there in the plans, and that it would not be visible to the public including the Cascades since it is inside the curve of the road. He further assured Mr. Hunter that not only had City Planning approved the plans but that copies had been submitted to the County as well since they had to approve grading plans and storm water controls. Mr. Hunter then asked about the “issues of cover dirt,” which he had not copied the Committee on because there was a back-and-forth letters, and which he hoped to address at January’s CAC meeting. Mr. Coyle said that Republic is having very productive discussions with County Public Works, that there has been some correspondence on that, but he will leave that up to CPW to address it.

- 2. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to report on odor complaints received and NOVs issued including any year-to-date charts, frequency of Inspector response to reports, and any other matters within their purview.**

Larry Israel, Compliance Lead Inspector, SCAQMD [909-396-2370; LIsrael@aqmd.gov; www.aqmd.gov], reported that in September there were 55

complaints, with one NOV issued on Tuesday, September 21st. “The District received 20 complaints that morning between approximately 6:45 and 9:15 a.m.; of those 17 were verified and were primarily residents in Granada Hills. The residential streets were pretty widespread and did not cover any particular neighborhood, and the odor events seem to last several hours. The types of odors we were receiving were sour, rotting trash odors, and not linked to gas odors. . . . and that was the type of odors that I verified . . . In October we had a total of 74 complaints.... On (Monday) October 4th we received 19 odor complaints and 16 were verified between 6:45 and 8:20 a.m.winds were from the north/northwest less than five miles per hour. I detected distinct sour, rotting trash odors in the community, and again it wasn’t just one residential street, it was quite a number of residential streets that the odors were verified. Ten days later on (Thursday) October 14th we received seven odor complaints, all of which were verified between 9:40 and 10:30 a.m., and again they were sour, rotting trash odors. As of November (10th), we have received seven complaints, one of which was while I was at the landfill, but it was not verified as the winds had picked up by the time I was able to get to the complaint.

Mr. Israel stated that “the Landfill was being very proactive, shutting down operations a number of times during two main odor events in October . . . Something that I hadn’t seen before, so there was large amounts of truck traffic stopped on the haul roads surrounding both working faces as described by Chris (Coyle) CC3 Part 3A and the new one on 4 (CC4 Part 4A). . . it’s a good thing that the Landfill was ceasing operations, unfortunately, the odors were quite noticeable, to the point at times of being distinct to strong in the community in those morning hours.” Mr. Hunter asked if any of the odors could be attributed to the trucks being backed up, to which Mr. Israel stated that “these trucks were parked for quite a while, I don’t know the exact amount of time... the minute I got on the landfill site there were trash odors. I did not go to the working faces, I was up on the ridgeline which is closer to the community, and I think that the Landfill has been fairly proactive in monitoring that location as well as going into the community. So, they are aware of odors at that ridgeline, and that helps dictate whether they are going to be at least temporarily shutting down operations.. it’s kind of hard not hard to smell trash when you are behind fifty trucks, so for me to say that it was coming just from the working face or the trucks, I think that it was probably a combination of both.”

Mr. Coyle said that “for stopping the activity at the working face, that is something that we have done for two years now . . . Republic did not highly publicize it because the public only cares about having no odors and not how we get there.” He reminded Mr. Hunter that, for odor controls, Republic had installed misting lines along the entire southern perimeter of the property, had eight portable monsoons and misting lines on the litter fences, and not just at the front gate. They also do not permit untarping of loads until just before dumping. Mr. Hunter said he had not forgotten but was just having a dig at Republic because of past disagreements of whether or not the trucks stink. Mr. Coyle said that he appreciated Mr. Israel’s comments and also acknowledged that when you are

behind a trash truck they do stink, but believed they are doing a great job, although Republic could always do better, and their goal is zero.

3. Sunshine Canyon Landfill-Local Enforcement Agency (SCL-LEA) to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Dave Thompson, SCL-LEA Program Manager [213.252.3932; David.Thompson@lacity.org; www.SCLLEA.org] reported that, “since the last Meeting, there have not been any violations at the site. We (LEA) continue to have our Inspectors on-site. The site held up well after the first rains . . . one day of really strong rains and the system held up well, and we had no erosion as we have had in the past. They (Republic) should be commended for that. The next LEA Board of Directors meeting will be December 16th . . . more information will be provided to the CAC and it will also be available on the LEA’s website at sclelea.org.

“In a past meeting that I was not able to attend there were some issues regarding the Load Checks that were done during the time of COVID [the COVID-19 Coronavirus pandemic] . . . what they were doing before COVID was breaking open the bags, looking for smaller hazardous waste like aerosol cans. . . . in the very beginning there was a big fear about COVID spreading as an aerosol . . . and it really affected the solid waste industry, where a lot of the MRFs (Material Recovery Facilities) temporarily shut down and the Recycling Centers (too). The (LEA) were approached by one of the site Operations Managers, and they (Republic) just let us know that they were kind of going to modify their procedures, they were not going to open the bags they’re going to continue to look at the loads. . . observe the loads as described in the JTD. . . they just weren’t going to dig in and observe that micro hazardous waste. . . the small aerosol cans but anything big like a 5-gallon bucket of anything, the big 55-gallon barrels. . . that type of stuff was going to be pulled out by their trained inspectors . . . so there was a question as to how this was approved. Since [the Landfill is] doing everything according to the JTD, there’s no formal approval required from the LEA. As Wayde brought up, I think we could have done a little bit better job of talking about what is going on, and we have learned from this, and if there are any issues, to write it in the Inspection Report. That is how we are going to be moving forward here. They (Republic) are back to normal, they are back to opening the bags, and that started I believe last March when LA County lifted their Stay-at-Home Order.”

Mr. Hunter stated he “would take exception to your (Mr. Thompson’s) statement. Your response was posted along with the letters to that situation on the scl-cac.org website but mine goes to your Solid Waste Facilities Permit 19-AA-2000, Condition 17 Enforcement Agency Conditions, Section B, Particular Requirements, Subsection 1b. . . . it specifies that, quote `the operator shall conduct a Load Checking Program as described in the Joint Technical Document (JTD) to identify and segregate for proper handling, materials the facility is not permitted to accept for disposal. Any changes in the Load Checking Program are considered amendments to the JTD and must be approved by the EA

(Environmental Affairs) prior to implementation' . . . and that's where I was going with it."

4. Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) to discuss the progress of CAC talks with consultant selected to Review/Evaluate Air Quality Data for the 11th Annual Ambient Air Monitoring Reports for SCL & Van Gogh School. **Motion (if necessary): Move to Item F. for further discussion and final contract approval.**

Bill Piazza, Environmental Assessment Coordinator, LAUSD Ofc. of Environmental Health & Safety (OHS) [213.241.3926; Bill.Piazza@lausd.net], reviewed the background by stating that: "we (CAC) submitted a RFP (Request for Proposal), we received proposals from several consultants, we review those, and the one (ECORP) that was obviously relevant, was certainly within budget. It was also responsive to the Scope of Work that we included in our RFP. It was a dollar amount that I personally felt was real . . . and was within the range that I expected for the type of work that we are looking for. They (the consultants) are going to address the issues associated with exposure to PM10 as well as diesel, and they are going to conduct a Health Risk Assessment, which is exactly what we are looking for. There are issues with and follow-on with the consultant for receiving additional information.... we have selected this consultant, we received a contract, and agreement with them. There are a couple of minor changes which we can talk about later... payment schedule and whatnot. They agreed with what we redlined out of that agreement. There was some back-and-forth with the consultant, which was nice, because they are ready to talk about it because this is an important and significant assessment for them. They will have additional data requests that are important to understand. We know that we cannot make those requests until the agreement has been signed, and we can move forward and make additional requests for data that should be publicly available. If new information is available they want the latest; for example, 2020 and 2021 data hasn't really been provided.. We are going to ask for some specifics in that regard. We trust the City will honor those requests and provide that information to allow us to get the most up-to-date to conduct the assessment. Another thing that I wanted to bring up... Chris (Coyle) if you would humor me a little bit. There is some information that they (consultants) will be looking for in terms of activity if you have it... We don't know the specifics.. . . they would like truck trips, amount of waste received... I think that information is readily available, and I don't know to what context they want it and I will certainly look at the requests to see that it is legitimate.... To even waste your time in terms of procuring the information for us... But at this point I get it.. . the risk assessor, the consultant kinda want to get their arms around the operational characteristics of the landfill, and they understand that things change on the working face... so I don't think its more working face related issues per se, it might really be the number of trucks coming in and up the service road and getting tipped, excuse me, or getting weighed or checked.. things like that. we can run that by you and see if you can provide that information."

Mr. Coyle agreed and stated that “so a lot of what you are talking about is publicly available, and right now you know, I am not going to agree or disagree to provide anything until we get to that. . . on the specific comments.. right? So, I think that is fair.” Mr. Piazza said, “exactly..... I don’t have a specific request...” He further went on to explain that data gathered may or may not be used in a Risk Assessment. Mr. Hunter commented that “normally the Landfill, when we had consultants, has always given us whatever we have asked for when it when it really isn’t an issue... it’s to their benefit, so nothing is going to be misconstrued, somebody is going to be saying well this is high and then we are all guessing what the reason was...”

Mr. Piazza opined that he believed the consultants were taking a granular approach, that not all information may be needed but that the consultants were seeking to get their arms around the Landfill operations. He then said that he believed “that they (consultants) had asked if they could come and do a little field trip at the landfill.. and the general environs.... Chris (Coyle), could that be arranged if they just wanted to come out and take a little tour of the operation?”

Mr. Coyle replied that “due to COVID we are not giving tours to anybody... we will have to discuss that when the timing gets close and they have a date that they would like to request, but yeah, we are not providing tours. Mr. Piazza then said “we are not asking for a tour but like the same as a regulatory agency were we to come on with proof of COVID status, vaccination, negative testing, would that be problematic? I am sure you are not letting a lot of people on, or I am just talking about you know, myself perhaps, and another individual to just kind of take a look at the lay of the land?” Mr. Coyle stated “that’s not going to happen... so we would have to discuss who is specifically coming, under what regulatory agency they are coming, and all that, so we will have to wait until we get that.”

Mr. Hunter said that he “just wanted to point out that the cooperation of the Landfill is actually mandated in the agreement with the forming of this particular SCL-CAC Committee, and that is when it comes to consultants... and again, not trying to put any pressure on Chris... I understand why Chris is dancing right now... he doesn’t want to commit... and that is understandable.. . I don’t have any problem with him not committing right now on the air, OK, but..” (interrupted) Mr. Piazza stated that he “was not asking for that. ...people are driving up to the landfill, that they must have some COVID protocol for drivers and people entering and leaving the landfill on a daily basis that are meeting your COVID requirements coming to the landfill to deposit their refuse at your landfill.....”

Mr.. Hunter stated to Mr. Coyle that “the SCL-CAC will submit in writing its request for whatever it is that we want, which will give you an opportunity to think about it and respond in writing to us, whatever that is . . .I am giving you an out by saying you don’t want to commit right now.”

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Dr. Zero): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves moving to Item F. for further discussion and final contract approval regarding ECORP Consulting, Inc.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

- 5. County Public Works** to report if a similar offer of County relief and reimbursement that is now being provided to Dominguez Channel neighbors and businesses can be made to SCL neighbors, including any other SCL matters within their purview.

Coby Skye, Assistant Deputy Director, L.A. County Department of Public Works Environmental Programs Division, [626-458-5163; CSkye@dpw.lacounty.gov; www.dpw.LACounty.gov], reported that, “by way of background CPW received a letter from Wayde on October 25th regarding the presence of odors at Sunshine Canyon Landfill, and requested information regarding the reimbursement of residents in the surrounding area, like what is being provided due to the Dominguez Channel incident. For those that may not be familiar, that section of Dominguez Channel in the vicinity of Carson has had higher than normal readings of hydrogen sulfide. I am sure you are familiar with that gas. It creates kind of a rotten egg smell. Due to that elevated hydrogen sulfide, (County) Public Health designated (it) a Public Health Nuisance in that area. A Notice was issued to (County) Public Works who is responsible for the operation and maintenance of the Dominguez Channel. Public Works is working with Public Works and AQMD to monitor and respond to that incident.... In contrast, Republic Services is responsible for the operation and maintenance of Sunshine Canyon Landfill, including addressing operational impacts associated with the landfill. I think all of you are familiar that the landfill is regulated by multiple agencies under a number of permits including the County, the City, AQMD and others. Public Works works very closely with the landfill operator to collaborate, as well as with other agencies to ensure the landfill is operating in a safe and appropriate manner... that includes lessening or eliminating impacts to the surrounding communities and to the environment.... we have seen, based on SCAQMD data, that the number of odor complaints have significantly decreased in more recent years compared to many years ago. We (CPW) are working to continue to lessen the occurrences of off-site landfill odors... the landfill operator, the community and the County, are all working towards it... to eliminate the complaints as much as possible.

“I wanted to cover one other topic which Wayde has brought to our (CPW) attention, which is regarding the lack of soil cover at the end of the working day through the weekend. Public Works sent an email to Republic on September 21, 2021 and followed up with a letter to immediately comply with the soil cover requirement. They (Republic) have acknowledged doing exactly that.”

Mr. Hunter stated to Mr. Skye that he “did not post your November 4th response to the issue (reimbursement of residents) of the odors and for getting assistance from the County to help button up these houses that are continually smelling the odors. Our back-and-forth was that I basically complained that it was a nice letter but that I did not know what it means.... Can you get it down to really very basic as to what the County’s actual response was without all the other stuff?”

Mr. Skye replied that, “we (CPW) certainly are addressing the Dominguez Channel incident directly, and that is one of the key differences between the situations is that the County is directly involved in providing support and issuances of the Public Health Directive is another difference... but I don’t think that the letter reinforces that. We are not disengaging in any way... we recognize that there have been odor complaints associated with the landfill, and we are working directly with the landfill operator to address those... ...We recognize there is an issue, that the nature of the impact is different, but that does not mean we are not going to be engaging using the tools available to us and working with our partner agencies whether it is with the SCAQMD, the City, Public Health, all the regulatory agencies involved to address that issue.”

6. County Regional Planning Department to report SCL matters within their purview.

Edgar De La Torre, Zoning Enforcement Planner, L.A. County Regional Planning Dept. [213-974-6453; EDeLaTorre@planning.LACounty.gov; <http://planning.LACounty.gov>], reported that “we continue to do monthly monitoring with the third-party consultant UltraSystems... they provide their Quarterly Reports. . . we were notified and there was a combined effort to respond back to the lack of soil cover. We are working with [County] Public Works and Sunshine Canyon Landfill to resolve those issues.”

7. County Public Health Department to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

No representative was present; there was no report.

8. City Planning Department to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Devon Zatorski, Planner, L.A. City Planning Dept. (818-374-5046; Devon.Zatorski@LACity.org; www.planning.LACity.org), reported that “we released the air quality monitoring RFP on the City’s procurement system... those bids are due at the end of this month, and we will review that with the Evaluation Committee which is comprised of members from City Planning, Regional Planning, Public Works, LEA and other agencies that work to scope the RFP with the goal of having the new contract in place before the current one expires in March of 2022.”

Mr. Hunter said that he did send out a copy of Devon’s email, and that he was disappointed that the CAC did not have an opportunity to comment before it went out. Ms. Zatorski reminded him that they could not let the public see the RFP before it went out but assured him that the CAC would now have an opportunity when the draft monitoring contract, if ready, can be discussed at the January 2022 TAC [Technical Advisory Committee] meeting. Ms. Zatorski also informed the group that this would be her last CAC meeting, that she had accepted a position with the Board of Public Works and was working with the Executive Team to get another City Planner in this role.

9. Other persons representing the City, County or State who wish to report any additional information or subject matter relating to SCL that is within their purview that has not been agendized for this meeting. If necessary, discussion and action will be agendized for another meeting.

Mr. Fisk noted that Jason Maruca [Assistant Field Deputy for L.A. County District Five Supervisor Kathryn Barger [office 818-993-5170; JMaruca@bos.LACounty.gov; <https://www.lacounty.gov/government/supervisors/kathryn-barger>] was in attendance. Mr. Fisk questioned whether or not some CAC Members whose terms expired in January 2022 needed to be re-appointed due to re-districting, and if the re-appointment would need to be by Supervisor Sheila Kuehl rather than Supervisor Barger. Mr. Maruca opined that he did not know at this time. Mr. Hunter noted that his re-appointment term expires in October 2023 and thought that the Supervisor's Office was housecleaning because they had not re-appointed Jeanette Capaldi and Richard Fisk in 2019, and he then asked Mr. Maruca to follow up.

Sharon Bronson, a Field Deputy for L.A. City District 12 Councilman John Lee (818-882-1212; Sharon.Bronson@LACity.org; www.CD12.org), said that she had nothing to report.

D. Committee Member and Public comments on items NOT on the Agenda.

Keren Waters said she “was wondering if the Chair or any CAC members had received the Request for COVID Vaccination information because she had received a request about a week ago from the Executive Office (BOS) saying that if we were to return to an in-person meeting, they needed to have that on file.” She further said that she had uploaded it to them and to Jason (Maruca) too, and that the original request was via email. After polling County-appointed members it was determined that they had not received a similar request.

E. Set next meeting date (Jan 13, 2022). If needed to comply with AB 361. **Motion: Whereas meeting in person would continue to present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and that State and/or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing we approve the use AB 361 abbreviated teleconferencing procedures.** Adjourn at 5:00 p.m. (Zoom active).

Mr. Hunter announced that the next Meeting will be online Thursday, January 13, 2022 at 3:00 p.m. He said that he has done what he can to comply with AB 361, though no government agency has provided guidance to him even after contacting the County Board of Supervisors Executive and the City Attorney's Office. Following discussion including comments from several City and County representatives, Committee members made the following friendly amendment in order to make a more generic statement which they felt would address the CAC's future bi-monthly meetings.

MOTION (by Ms. Pietraszko, seconded by Mr. Fisk): Whereas meeting in person would continue to present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and that State and/or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing, the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the continued use of Zoom for our meetings.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

F. Special Overtime Discussion of Budget, Banking & Financial Matters. Review and approve consultant contract from Item 4. Staff and/or public presence optional

Mr. Piazza’s previous presentation was reviewed, followed by discussion of the contract. The Committee unanimously agreed that there should be a percentage cap and that Mr. Hunter could, after review with Mr. Piazza, approve changes up to but not exceeding that amount without having to come back to the Board; this would eliminate the need to schedule additional meetings.

MOTION (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Caspi): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the ECORP contract for \$46,070.

MOTION PASSED unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

Mr. Hunter declared and the Committee agreed to **ADJOURN** the Meeting at 5:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Levin, Note Taker (Minutes Writer). Edited by SCL-CAC. *The first paragraph of some Items, Motions/Resolutions and other wording may have been directly copied from the Agenda.* The SCL-CAC Meeting Minutes page is <http://scl-cac.org/agendas-minutes>. [*The Agenda also said:*] “To access the related documents that are “available” to the Committee, please go to SCL-CAC.ORG and click on the links in the Committee Document Package on the Home page or under the Agenda. Comments to Agenda Items prior to November 10th may be sent to the CAC c/o WHunter01@aol.com.”