

**SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL – COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

MINUTES OF MEETING, Thursday, March 14, 2019

Knollwood Country Club, 12024 Balboa Blvd., Granada Hills, CA 91344

Members: Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), Laine Caspi (Secretary), Karen Coca, Richard Fisk, Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Rep), Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian) and Dr. Donna Zero.

A. Call to Order, Roll Call by Notetaker, and Approval of January 10, 2019 Minutes (Chair).

This meeting of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Advisory Committee was called to order at 3:08 p.m. on March 14, 2019 in Granada Hills, California by Chair Wayde Hunter. Roll Call was taken by the Note Taker. Four of the eight Committee Members were present: Laine Caspi (Secretary), Karen Coca (new Member), Richard Fisk and Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Rep). Absent: Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian) and Dr. Donna Zero. A quorum of at least five Committee Members was not present. Landfill and government representatives present. BFI/Republic Services: Chris Coyle; SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District): Larry Israel; SCL-LEA (Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency): David Thompson; L.A. County Public Health Dept.: Eugene Loi; L.A. County Regional Planning Dept.: Tim Stapleton; L.A. County Supervisor Kathryn Barger's Office: Jarrod DeGonia; L.A. City Councilman Greig Smith's Office: Jessie Strobel; L.A. City Planning Dept.: none; LAUSD District 3: Bill Piazza. Also attended: 14 residents and other guests.

Wayde welcomed new Committee Member Karen Coca who is replacing Joe Vitti as CD 12.s appointment. Karen described her background as being a local resident and of having recently retired from the Bureau of Sanitation after working on landfills and recycling since 1991.

No Motion was made to approve the Minutes because there was no quorum to vote.

B. Old Business: Discussion and Committee motions & possible action:

- Outstanding administrative matters (Chair/Vice Chair).

Mr. Hunter asked everyone to sign in, though members of the public may remain anonymous. He had distributed to Committee Members an information packet that included a Balance Sheet, Reconciliation Detail and other related Landfill documents, and copies were available to the public. (See <http://SCL-CAC.org>.)

- Welcome to newest member Karen Coca who is replacing Joe Vitti as CD12's appointment.

Ms. Coca was welcomed.

- Treasurer's Report (Treasurer).

Mr. Hunter reviewed the finances for January and February 2019 explaining that Mr. Fleck had problems with his internet service provider. The checking account balance was \$3,467.77; the savings balance was \$110,484.83 with bank interest of \$1.85; checking/savings balance \$4,696.63; petty cash of \$100, and a legal retainer of \$682.00 remained unchanged; the total balance was \$119,431.23. In response to Mr. Fisk's question as to whether it was possible to get a better interest rate Mr. Hunter explained the difficulty of arranging to get a higher interest rate (Patriot Act, \$10,000 trigger, jump through hoops), however, he concluded by saying that yes, more interest could be obtained, that the bank had also believed that it was better to invest the money, but that he was not the Treasurer. As far as changing banks, it would again trigger the Patriot Act and would necessitate submission of more documents, authorized signatures et cetera. Ms. Coca asked the source of the funds and Mr. Hunter stated that Republic funded the CAC and that there was an agreed to cap of \$126,000/year; \$6,000 for operating expenses and \$120,000 for consultants. No Motion was made to approve the Treasurer's Report because there was no quorum to vote.

C. New Business: Discussion and possible Committee motions & action to address the following:

- 1. Browning-Ferris Industries/Republic Services Inc. (BFI/Republic)** to report on Sunshine Canyon Landfill activities and operations, including ongoing efforts to abate odors using Alternative Daily Cover (ADC), Intermediate Cover Project (ICE), and compliance with the SCAQMD's Stipulated Order of Abatement for Odors.

Chris Coyle, General Manager, BFI/Republic [24-hour Landfill hotline 818-779-9170; main 818-362-2124; Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com; <http://SunshineCanyonLandfill.com>], gave a "Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Update" and reported that "we had a lot of rain, but the site is doing well" "there's been no change to our disposal operations, and we are filling at CC4." "we are "still using Enviro-Cover as ADC on a daily basis" . . . "efforts toward winterization have paid off" "the cocoa matting has performed well".... "all of our storm controls have performed well" "the site received over the last three months, 10-inches, 11-inches, and 4-inches of rain during January February and March respectively"" the total for the season (Oct – March) is 33-inches of rain"... " the site has held up well with no blowouts or drainage issues".

"As you remember we hydroseeded and placed additional top soil last year" "we're starting to see a lot of green on site" . . . the Deck B Sage Mitigation project is complete . . ." we seeded five acres on the City side on the south side of the landfill." "We have enhanced our gas collection system..... we have installed 9 vertical wells and 10 horizontal collectors." Five of the nine wells were drilled down to the gabion cubes." "We are in the process of installing our 2019 vacuum header upgrade, which includes larger pipelines to ensure all of our wells have an adequate vacuum" ... "installing new wells, test for liquids, and install pumps as necessary." The looped header system installed last year around the entire developed footprint of the active landfill is performing as expected". "We continue to move through the process of permitting for Flare 12."

"We did receive one Notice of Violation (NOV) for odors on February 12" "there was nothing unusual occurring on the site at that time, however, we were performing routine gas system construction in CC4 Part 2 that may have contributed to this event."

Mr. Coyle provided an update on the Woolsey Canyon Fire and stating that we are currently in discussions with a potential customer to accept overflow volumes from Calabasas or Simi Valley Landfill once they hit their (volume) caps. That material would be accepted during AQMD restricted operating hours, and the volume will not cause us to exceed our solid waste permit allowed daily or weekly cap. Estimated start date for that material is March 25th ... we do have a profile for another approved customer for 100-tons, and that customer has not delivered yet and we do not know when they will" "and they have to give us 24-hour notice before they can deliver." He concluded by stating that: "we are in compliance with the AQMD Stipulated Order of Abatement, and the next hearing is on Tuesday, March 19th."

In response to a series of questions from Ms. Coca regarding current tonnage, exceedance of permitted tonnage, if there was a cap on trucks, and the gas collection, Mr. Coyle stated that: "the current daily average tonnage accepted is 7,500 tons, and 2,500 tons per day on Saturdays" "we are not going to go over our daily or weekly tonnage with the fire debris"..... "we do not have a truck cap".... "Super 10 dump trucks" would bring Woolsey Fire debris..... "if we are working around the header or the entire thing, we will cut and cap and to make sure anything isn't escaping" "and what I think we are doing is we are going bigger, laying in parallel and flip it". Josh, SCL's Environmental Manager nodded in agreement.

Resident, Cheri Mann asked how the material from the fire was coming in, and Mr. Coyle stated that: it will be in Super 10's".... "what we're going to be taking is mostly ash and dirt." Trash will be burrito wrapped; both the trash and the wrap will be buried. "Only non-hazardous waste is what we're accepting"; he agreed with Mr. Hunter that "it' is going into the working face, and not another special area set aside." "We will follow all of our normal protocols . . . the County is the lead agency certifying the non-hazardous materials. In an emergency, "we would be the site of last resort after Calabasas and Simi Valley landfills . . . we will definitely notify the community if the Landfill is going to accept emergency trash".

Mr. Hunter stated that: "we have a scheduled item with County Public Works to address those issues, and there is a copy of an email to himself from Coby Skye dated March 7, 2019" and yes, he knows where the hazardous material is going; it is going to La Paz which is out of State.... There are four agencies that look over the material and it is packaged up and sent out".

Resident Meg Volk complained of an abandoned refrigerator (that the City finally picked up after weeks), and the trash and plastic bags along San Fernando Road and Sepulveda, along with the deteriorating sandbags; saying that: "I've spoken to you to have your crew clean up.... they do a good job, but it is disgusting." Mr.

Coyle stated that he would reinforce it with his cleanup crew, and as for the sandbags that was the City's (problem). Resident, Bill Hopkins stated his concern for the potential for fires on the landfill when the grass dries out in the summer. Mr. Coyle stated that we have "a handful of water trucks on-site" our own dedicated water so, "we can fight our own fires"... "but that once it got into the native (surrounding terrain), then absolutely we would rely on the City and County resources".

2. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) to report on odor complaints received and NOV's issued including any year-to-date charts, and/or any other matters within their purview.

Larry Israel, Compliance Lead Inspector, SCAQMD [909-396-2370; LIsrael@aqmd.gov; www.aqmd.gov], started by providing a correction to the name Inspector, Vergara in January's Minutes. He reported that, in January 2019, there were 17 odor complaints and went on to explain, day by day, the number of complaints, types of odors, and the responses, majority of which were handled by telephone as they came in after hours. He further noted that the SCAQMD Senior Compliance Manager, Jason Aspell had spoken at the last CAC meeting explaining that the past complaint protocol of three complaints in one hour for calls after hours or on weekends or Mondays when the office is closed" has "been expanded to three complaints in five hours." In February, there also were 17 odor complaints, including 13 of which came in on February 12th..... and nine were verified by myself as "mostly landfill gas" ... they came in the late afternoon from 4 o'clock to 6:30 p.m. " 'and that resulted in a Notice of Violation (NOV)". In March through the 13th there were 15 odor complaints..... some complaints were south of Van Gogh School. Resident, Roxie Deukmedjian asked what had prompted the change in odor calls and hours to which Mr. Israel opined that it might have been because you beat up on my manager (J. Aspell) at the last meeting (laughter) or because somebody raised the issue of Aliso Canyon... I don't know. He asked if there were any questions Mr. Hunter stated that he expected the odor reports to climb because of the rainy weather... everybody thinks the odors are worse during the summer when it is hot, but that is not the case. It is worse in winter, and that's when we get rain. The water gets into the landfill and generates methane which brings up the odors, although we expect them (the odors) to be far less because of the work they (Republic) have done. Mr. Coyle agreed and said that we expect to be able to capture that gas, that is generated by this extra rain, and so if we have sufficient vacuum for it, then there won't be odors especially as we continue to extend our gas infrastructure. Mr. Hunter added that because of the AstroTurf thing that you put in there it has reduced the infiltration of water..., to which Mr. Coyle added agreed saying," and with 57 acres of impermeable plastic, that's a lot of water that doesn't get in". Mr. Israel addressed the residents, asking if those that experience the odors, has it gotten any better as far as the odors go or the intensity, to which several of them replied yes. Resident, Resident, Bill Cotter asked when the landfill received the NOV, was the landfill was doing anything that would have caused those spikes (in odors), to which Mr. Coyle replied that they believed that they were doing routine gas system improvements with Josh adding

“in February, we were finishing construction of a vertical well”... and “since then, because it caused odors we have evaluated the process, and we have done some improvements like a bigger vacuum box. Resident Roxie Deukmedjian asked what they were doing Sunday night to which Mr. Coyle said “nothing, we were not operating that night, that would be against our permit.” She continued that it must have been something it smelled so bad. Ms. Coca asked how often do you check the pressure on your wells, to which Mr. Coyle responded that well pressure is checked “twice monthly, and we can dial into our landfill gas collection system to see how that is performing.”

3. Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) to discuss any correspondence and potential CAC responses to County Regional Planning/Public Health Department regarding STI’s Quarterly/Annual Ambient Air Monitoring Reports.

Bill Piazza, Environmental Assessment Coordinator, LAUSD Ofc. of Environmental Health & Safety (OHS) [213.241.3926; Bill.Piazza@lausd.net], described the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting held in January, and believed that the consulting firm did not have the expertise to make a health determination based on their data. Even other attendees at that meeting who read the Executive Summary had the same reaction as you (CAC) did, and they did not understand the STI’s report, either. We felt vindicated, that they understood where we were going and that the TAC “will take a closer look at the contract and will get back to the SCL-CAC.” Mr. Stapleton said that there was no action to report. Mr. Hunter said “they do understand now the point that Bill was making, and I did email them to remind them (the TAC Co-Chairs) of their commitment. Mr. Piazza said “we’ll wait for a response” from the TAC. Mr. Stapleton was not sure when there would be a response; “hopefully before the next TAC meeting . . . in June.” Mr. Hunter indicated that the response would be available for the July CAC meeting.

4. County Public Works to report on their involvement and recommendations to BOS in the Woolsey Canyon Fire cleanup and any other SCL matters within their purview.

Mr. Hunter indicated that Public Works Assistant Deputy Director, Coby Skye was going to present but was not at the meeting, and that he was concerned that Mr. Skye might have been caught up in the nearby traffic accident. He reminded everybody that there was a copy of Mr. Skye’s email of March 7th to him on the Woolsey Canyon Fire that was available at the back and in the Committee’s packages. He explained that he had requested a meeting with Mark Prestrella, Director of County Public Works, but had been instead set up with Coby Skye and Carlos Ruiz instead. He said that he had given them the same package that I had given to the Board of Supervisors. If you were not aware, they (Board of Supervisors) did a 72-hour posting and didn’t tell anybody, and put it on a Consent Calendar which means that they didn’t want any comments on the Emergency Waiver... so I went down there and I had a bunch of questions...Mark was actually there, supposedly to answer questions, but because it was on a Consent Calendar he didn’t do anything. So, for me as the President of the North Valley Coalition, seeing 15,000 tons per day going to Sunshine Canyon Landfill, and their hours

being changed on a Saturday from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m. to 6 a.m. to 6 p.m....I have a concern I would like to wait... if not we can address it further.

- 5. Sunshine Canyon Landfill-Local Enforcement Agency (SCL-LEA)** to discuss approval of increased tonnage and hours at SCL in response to Woolsey Canyon Fire debris cleanup, and on any inspections carried out at the landfill, and other matters within their purview. Motion: Write letter to LEA and other City/County agencies expressing concern for the increase in tonnage to 15,000 tpd and the increase in Saturday operating hours and the potential for increased odors impacting the community.

Mr. Thompson, SCL-LEA Program Manager [213.252.3932; David.Thompson@lacity.org; www.SCLLEA.org] reported that since the last meeting “we’ve continued to do our inspections . . . we have not issued any recent ‘areas of concerns’ or ‘violations’.” He noted a lack of problems, saying “the improvements they’ve made have worked really well.” Every 18 months, CalRecycle [<http://www.CalRecycle.ca.gov>] inspects the Landfill; There was a recent inspection and “they didn’t note any issues or violations, either.” Their report along with ours will be available at our website next month. The next SCLLEA Board of Directors meeting will be at the end of May at the University of Los Angeles Law School.

Regarding the recent Woolsey Fire, County Public Works is “taking the lead on the cleanup... and they are doing a good job.” There was a conference call between us and CalRecycle, and the California Office of Emergency Services, and they are taking the lead on asking all of the local landfills to get Emergency Waivers to help accommodate their contractors doing the cleanup, The Landfills at Calabasas Landfill, Simi Valley Landfill, Chiquita Canyon Landfill and Sunshine Canyon Landfill all put in request for and got 120-day Emergency Waivers (starting on February 4th) to accommodate Woolsey Fire cleanup debris. Resident, Bill Cotter stated that it was fine for others, but our community has suffered with problems with the landfill all these years. Mr. Thompson said that “the primary material is going to Simi Valley Landfill . . . the next option would be Calabasas . . . and they can apply for another 120 days.”

Mr. Hunter noted that Simi Valley Landfill has a truck limit, that it is in Ventura County and not Los Angeles County and that Sunshine Canyon Landfill’s Conditional Use Permit does not include a “truck limit... there wasn’t even a closure date... also the reason that Chiquita Canyon Landfill only got a 20 percent increase was because there is a condition on theirs that said they could only take so much, and we (Sunshine) don’t have that.” Before the meeting Dave and I were talking and there was no community outreach saying, “Hey we’ve got this coming up,” ... this is what the situation is.... this is how it is going to work. What we got was no notification.... none... from the Board of Supervisors... It was posted 72-hours before as required... nobody said anything to anybody because they put it on a Consent Calendar... they don’t want to hear from anybody... and they gave us a whole two minutes to speak... didn’t take it out (of Consent Calendar) for consideration....Mark Prestrella was there to answer questions but didn’t because

it was on a Consent Calendar... so everything just got ramrodded through....I took objection to the fact that we don't know, how much, what was generated, what is going to happen. To Mr. Thompson's credit they did notify me when they approved it at the LEA... at least he communicated.... We had zero communications from the County of Los Angeles about what was going to happen to us.

Mr. DeGonia said that "Supervisor Barger was aware" of the waiver and determined that Sunshine Canyon... with the one provision.... that Sunshine Canyon "would be within the Order." Mr. Coyle reminded everyone that Sunshine Canyon was "under an Abatement Order" when the waiver was granted.

6. County Regional Planning Department to report on SCL matters within their purview.

Tim Stapleton, Zoning Enforcement Planner, L.A. County Regional Planning Dept. [213-974-6453; TStapleton@planning.LACounty.gov; <http://planning.LACounty.gov>], reported that "it was requested by both parties to continue the Hearing until June 11th" from March 5th regarding BFI/Republic's Appeal about the gas fee payment to the County under Conditions 64B and 64C of the CUP. The County continues to fine BFI/Republic \$1,000 per day; and they both disagree about whether BFI/Republic violated the CUP.

7. County Public Health Department to report on SCL matters within their purview.

Eugene Loi, Nurse, L.A. County Public Health [213-738-3220; EuLoi@ph.LACounty.gov; <http://publichealth.LACounty.gov>], relayed information from Dr. Cyrus Rangan, Director, Bureau of Toxicology and Environmental Assessment, [CRangan@ph.LACounty.gov; <http://publichealth.LACounty.gov/eh/TEA/aboutTEA.htm>]. Mr. Loi introduced himself and said that he worked under Dr. Cyrus Rangan who was involved with Sunshine Canyon in the early 2000's. There were issues that were brought up to our Department regarding a Health Study. Aliso Canyon is very different to Sunshine Canyon. He noted that they performed a study in about 2003, and that the results generated were "neither helpful to the community or might have worked against the community if anything. ". In the case of Sunshine, we have more of a chronic issue which we know are primarily odors. We have a significant cache of data from the AQMD and STI and "we definitely agree" with the LAUSD that STI (Sonoma Technologies Inc.) "didn't publish a report" regarding the meaning of data they collected. Mr. Piazza said "we believe the contract requires them to do that." Mr. Loi replied that "we're pushing for that as well . . . given the AQMD's Order, and the fact that the odors have decreased dramatically over the past couple of years, there's very little to gain by looking at disease outcome." Mr. Hunter noted that the Committee "around 10-11 months ago" requested County Public Health's help regarding this. Mr. Piazza explained that a Health Risk Assessment was requested. In regard to that, Mr. Loi noted that "I was informed they were working on a letter"; and that the County Health was pushing to have STI provide an interpretation of the data, and for the contract to change or be updated so that STI can hire someone to do that.'.. He went on to summarize a letter sent to the

County Board of Supervisors in 2015 on the 2003 Study and said that they concluded that “with the possible exception of asthma and wheezing symptoms among women our investigation did not find evidence of unusually high rates of or unusual patterns of disease among residents living in the census tract near Sunshine Canyon Landfill.” In response to Ms. Coca’s request for clarification, Mr. Piazza said that “STI has data that looks at particulate emissions and these can be weighed against Ambient Air Quality Standards, and there is another argument that we made, that the Landfill should prepare what they call a Heath Risk Assessment which does look at the emissions of the sources on the landfill, which is a very standardized tool for the industry.” Mr. Loi then clarified that the “second letter that he spoke of was true at the time as he was told by higher ups, but that letter ended up changing because of internal talks between the Department and they decided to push for STI to do the Health Assessment in their Executive Summary... Evenor (from County Health) who came after me was supposed to relay that message.

Mr. Hunter explained that the CAC asked County Public Health at the TAC meeting, that, “if STI did not provide our requested analysis, that County Public Health provide it..... we need to break this stupid circle and somebody has to take responsibility of it.” Mr. Piazza believed the contract should have required the County to do the interpretation. Ms. Coca suggested using the CAC’s \$120,000 and “put out a bid” to do the interpretation of the data (since it was in the public domain) to which Mr. Piazza replied that “this is how the whole thing started... over PM2.5 (emissions).”. Mr. Hunter explained that we tried to do something and were basically booted out of it, and that Ms. Coca’s idea was good but if we were to consider something like that it would have to be agendized first. Ms. Coca offered her expertise and assistance in getting bids after Mr. Hunter objected to the amount of work and due diligence that was expected of him by the City and the County,, and the fact that he had no staff.

8. City Planning Department to report on SCL matters within their purview.

Nicholas Hendricks, Planner, L.A. City Planning Dept. (818-374-5046; Nick.Hendricks@LACity.org; www.planning.LACity.org), was not present; there was no report.

9. Council District 12 Representative, Jessie Strobal to provide an accounting of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Amenities Fund.

[This Agenda Item was addressed after Item #F.] Jessie Strobel, Deputy Chief of Staff for L.A. City District 12 Councilman Greig Smith (818-882-1212; Jessica.Strobel@LACity.org; www.CD12.org), reported that the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Amenities Trust Fund “this two page report is what the City Clerk gave me, and they said this is what they have but that this is not a complete accounting, since the account began in 1996 and this accounting begins in 1999 “. The balance as of February 20, 2019 was \$3.678 million. There was a settlement claim and that is paid quarterly to the City, but it is shown as a line item for \$1.376 million for year nineteen. Resident, Bill Hopkins questioned the settlement to

which Ms. Strobel explained that it is not a payout by the City but a receipt of funds by the City from Republic. Resident, Bill Cotter stated that he was concerned about a lack of information regarding certain expenditures...that he had requested all CD12 staff documents for the last 2 ½ years, had to put in a FOIA request, and had received over 1,200 documents but when he asked specifically for correspondence from Councilman Englander, he was told that there was none. He was also concerned about a \$35,000 expenditure to the City Cultural Affairs Department. He said he had received over 1200 documents which he is looking over right now specially asking for any correspondence for the last 2-1/2 years from Councilman Englander and was told there was none. He also said that \$6,000,000 had been spent on Zelzah, Avenue and asked how does the Council Office handle the purchase of land. Ms. Strobel replied that if an email is deleted, we cannot access it again, so the Information Technology Unit would be the one, the Culture Affairs expenditure see was not aware of who put in that request. Councilman Englander has maintained that his Executive Staff was his advisory team, and that may have been talked about in their Executive meetings but she can look up the Council File number and get back to him. When the Councilman left they shut off access to his emails .Mr. Cotter added that he continued to be concerned about expenditure of funds in Topanga Canyon, outside of the 5-mile radius, and the lack of community input as to where this money is spent, and in his final 5-months of office blew through \$18,000,000. Ms. Strobel said that while she does not know about that, the City Attorney does review all contracts. Mr. Hunter asked who comprised the Executive Team to which she replied that it consisted of the Chief of Staff, John Lee, Deputy Chief of Staff, Nicole Bernson, District Director, and then Hanna Lee, District Director, Meg Cottier before Ron Ribine? Communications Director, Stephanie Sapirito....and Greig Smith does not plan to request any funds.

Committee Member Laine Caspi left at this time (5:00), making three Committee Members present (the SCL-CAC quorum is five).

Ms. Strobel will follow up regarding such communications. Mr. Cotter believed that some money was spent outside of the five-mile limit within which Fund money is to be spent and that "\$18 million in the final month" of the previous Councilmember's term was spent with "zero community input." He was concerned about appropriateness and legality of the expenditures. Ms. Strobel reported that "the City Attorney has reviewed every contract." She stated that Councilman Smith "does not plan to use any of that money at this moment."

- 10. Other persons representing the City, County or State** who wish to report any additional information or subject matter relating to SCL that is within their purview that has not been agendized for this meeting. If necessary, discussion and action will be agendized for another meeting.

There were no other reports at this time.

D. Public comment on items NOT on the Agenda.

There were no public comments at this time.

E. Set next meeting date (May 9, 2019).

Mr. Hunter announced that the next Meeting will be here May 9, 2019 at 3:00 p.m.

F. Special Overtime Discussion of Budget, Banking & Financial Matters. Potential budget items FY 2019.

There was discussion of Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Amenities Trust Fund expenditures, appropriateness and disposition.

Mr. Hunter declared and the Committee agreed to **ADJOURN** the Meeting at 5:07 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Levin, Note Taker (Minutes Writer). Edited by SCL-CAC. *The first paragraph of some Items, Motions/Resolutions and other wording may have been directly copied from the Agenda.* Minutes are available at <http://scl-cac.org/agendas-minutes>.

**Approved SCL-CAC Meeting
May 9, 2019**