

**SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL – COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA  
MINUTES OF MEETING, Thursday, January 12, 2023**

DRAFT Minutes [to be replaced by “Approved \_[Month]\_ \_[date]\_, 202\_[year]\_”]

In conformity with the Governor’s Executive Order N-29-20 (March 17, 2020) and due to concerns over COVID-19, this meeting was conducted online and telephonically.

Members: Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), Laine Caspi (Secretary), Richard Fisk, Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Rep), Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian) and Keren Waters.

**A. Call to Order, Roll Call by Notetaker, and Approval of November 10, 2022, Minutes (Chair).**

This meeting of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Advisory Committee (SCL-CAC) was called to order at 3:00 p.m. on January 12, 2023 online by Chair Wayde Hunter. Roll Call was taken by the Note Taker. Five of the seven Committee Members were present: Laine Caspi, Richard Fisk, Wayde Hunter, Debbie Pietraszko and Keren Waters. Jeanette Capaldi and Larry Fleck were absent. A quorum of at least five (there were five) Committee Members was present. Two Committee Member openings were available. Landfill and government representatives present: BFI/Republic Services: Kate Downey; SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District): John Anderson; SCL-LEA (Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency): Jose Gutierrez; L.A. County Public Health Dept.: none; L.A. County Public Works Dept.: David Nguyen; L.A. County Regional Planning Dept.: Edgar De La Torre; L.A. County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl’s Office: Blake Clayton; L.A. County Supervisor Kathryn Barger’s Office: none; L.A. City Councilman John Lee’s Office: Sharon Bronson; L.A. City Planning Dept.: Tim Fargo; and LAUSD District 3: Andrew Modugno. Also attended: approximately 24 residents and other guests. A Balance Sheet, Reconciliation Detail and other related SCL-CAC and Landfill documents were available at [www.scl-cac.org](http://www.scl-cac.org) and slides were shown.

No corrections or amendments were made to the Minutes.

**MOTION** (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Mr. Fisk): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee accepts the Minutes of its November 10, 2022 Meeting.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**B. Old Business: Discussion and Committee motions & possible action:**

- Outstanding administrative matters (Chair/Vice Chair). a). Election of Officers.  
Mr. Hunter explained election details, saying that he sends out a letter to committee members with a description of the positions, and the current holders, and asks that

they let only him know, so there is no serial email, whether they would a) like to continue in the current position. b) don't wish to continue in the current position. c) want to apply for a new or open position. Based on the responses received, I would make known the results at the meeting, and ask you in a roll call vote if you concur or if anybody has since changed their minds. If there was concurrence, I would proceed to do one of the following: Every person that responded that was currently holding a position was willing to continue in that position. There were no persons indicating that they wanted to apply for another position, and with that a roll call vote if you concur. This was subsequently changed to voice vote after discussion.

**MOTION** (by Mr. Hunter): the Committee agrees with current officers continuing in their positions.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**MOTION** (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Caspi): the Committee agrees with current officers Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), Laine Caspi (Secretary), Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Representative), and Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian) continuing in their positions, and be reappointed to that position.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

- Treasurer's Report, November 2022 – December 2022 (Treasurer).

Mr. Hunter first explained that the September-October 2022 Report was revised. Account ending in 1234 (for Expenses) started at \$7,966.44 in which we had PO Box, website hosting, May Minutes, and one of things that was missing out of there was the Out-of-pocket expenses for Adobe Acropro, that had not been posted; by the end of October the closing balance was \$7,255.39. The next account ending in 1582 (for Consultants) the beginning balance was \$61,450.10, and the last time we did not post because we did not know what the interest was (\$0.50 on 9/07 and \$0.47 on 10/5), so the ending balance was \$61,451.07. Business Checking Account for Consultants beginning and ending balance was \$10,014.98. Petty Cash started and ended at \$100. The "Other Assets" Legal service retainer remained unchanged at \$682. So, our beginning balance was \$80,213.52, with \$711.05 in debits, \$0.97 in deposits, leaving an ending balance of \$79,503.44. There is also a note that says that \$868,75 remaining on ECORP contract of \$46,070 was waived by Seth Myers 9/08/2022.

**MOTION** (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Caspi): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the REVISED September-October 2022 Treasurer's Report as presented.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

Mr. Hunter reviewed finances of the November-December 2022 Report. The “Business Checking Account ending in 1234 (for Expenses)” beginning balance was \$7,255.39. We had two checks written on that account both to the Notetaker for July and September 2022 Minutes; the ending balance was \$6,825.39. The “Business Savings Account ending in 1582 (for Consultants)” beginning balance was \$61,451.07; we had interest posted on 11/03 of \$0.49 and on 12/06 of \$0.56; the ending balance was \$61,452.12. The “Business Checking Account ending in 9514 (for Consultants)” beginning and ending balances both were \$10,014.98. There was \$100 in Petty Cash. There was \$682 in Other Assets. The total beginning balance for all accounts as of Nov. 1, 2022 was \$79,503.44; debits were \$430 and deposits were \$1.05; the total ending balance for all accounts was \$79,074.49 as of Dec. 31, 2022 per Mr. Fleck and Mr. Hunter.

**MOTION** (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Pietraszko): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the November-December 2022 Treasurer’s Report as presented.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**C. New Business.** Discussion and possible Committee motions & action to address the following:

- 1. Browning-Ferris Industries/Republic Services Inc. (BFI/Republic)** to report on any and all Sunshine Canyon Landfill daily activities, state of infrastructure/gas collection system, notable events including NOVs, current and future disposal operations, including continuing efforts to abate odors.

Kate Downey, Environmental Manager, BFI/Republic [24-hour Landfill hotline 818-779-9170; main 818-362-2124; [Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com](mailto:Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com); <http://SunshineCanyonLandfill.com>], gave an SCL “Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Update” (below).

### **General**

- The site welcomed Michael Stewart back to SCL as General Manager starting 1/9. Michael has been with Republic for 18 years; he was the previous Area Environmental Manager for the Los Angeles area between 2013 and 2017, and brings extensive knowledge and expertise back to the landfill. The SCL team is thrilled to welcome him in this role at Sunshine.

### **Operations**

- There have been no changes to our disposal operation or location, and we continue to utilize cell CC4-P4A/B/C and our Wet Weather Deck in CC4-P2 and P3 on an as need basis.
- The site has experienced extremely heavy rains recently, and so we have utilized the wet weather deck pretty frequently.
- We also continue to use the Enviro-Cover for cover (ADC) Mondays through Fridays, also Saturdays and monitor its performance daily.

- All Saturdays were completed with full cover (soil) through the end of 2022.

### **Construction**

- Season to date, including some days in January we have received roughly 26 inches of rainfall. January alone has already seen over 13 inches. In fact 7.8 inches of which were experienced in one single 24-hour period.
- We continue monitoring weather events – area projections include several days of rain in the coming weeks. Hopefully, we will get a dry patch, which would be very helpful.
- We have received our grading permits for Phase 1 & 2 of the front entrance Toe Berm project. We are still in the review process for Phases 3, 4 & 5 which is the completion of the berm, with the City and those approval are expected to be issued in early this quarter (Q1 2023).
- This project is vital to the health of the landfill. It will help with odor control on site as well as reduce the visual impact of looking up the canyon, and furthermore it will ensure the stability for the continued development of the landfill. The majority of Phases 1 and 2 Construction have been complete(d) – one small section of the Phase 2 subgrade work still remains and that will be complete within the next few weeks, weather permitting.
- The next phases of the project will begin after issuance of the final City approvals, and the construction is expected to continue to early 2025.
- As for Odor Complaints, the number of complaints has declined significantly since September 2022 when we did see that uptick, and generally they seem to be trending in a favorable pattern. So, we had no NOV's issued for the month of November or December.
- Our Odor Patrol Team is fully staffed. The patrols are ongoing every day, 7 days a week. The Team which patrols the neighborhood in the morning hours prior to us accepting waste (unintelligible) and then moves to spot patrols throughout the day. As they notice odors, they relay that information back to the site team and we adjust the operations.
- The site is installing a new vapor delivery system of odor neutralizer product to help us combat odors. Currently, we have a wet misting line, however, we have established a partnership with one of our consultant that is working with us to pilot a safer system, and we are keeping a wet delivery system online (unintelligible).
- 2023 Gas Construction activities planning is underway, which will include installation of a number of vertical wells, horizontal collectors, new liquids pumps, as well as the installation of additional header pipes. Plans should be finalized early this quarter and construction is expected to commence early in the Q2 and go through Q3.
- No cell construction is planned for 2023; so our disposal operations will continue in the existing remaining constructed airspace this year.
- We continue to move through the permitting process for the installation of Flare 12 with an expected install completion target of 2024, pending AQMD approvals.

Mr. Hunter stated that “he had received an email from a concerned resident about your new vapor delivery system, and she had apparently asked for information about the system from Valerie who is now gone, so she didn’t get that; she called me and said that they had a contractor on-site, but she had not called back to get any more information, but she wanted to know exactly what this system was. You (Kate) mentioned it. Who was the vendor of that system you referred to?” Ms. Downey responded that “it was “Weaver,” and the system should be in place at the end of this month, and it will be utilized in an on-and-off again capacity (unintelligible)”. Mr. Hunter requested that she elaborate a little bit more on the system itself in her next report, stating that “his understanding was, that these people (Weaver) were located near high-end housing development and that they were successful in combating odors but I don’t know they had any previous landfill experience controlling odors or anything like that; we definitely would definitely like to hear how successful it’s been, and if you can send us any technical data”. Ms. Downey said that “she would be happy to do that, and in fact this particular group does work with landfills, and they do have quite a bit of experience working directly with odors, combating odors around residential communities specific to landfills, so that is why we partnered with them; we have had a lot of success at other Republic sites and outside Republic, so that was the basis for that partnership”.

Mr. Mike Mohajer stated that “he was private citizen and did not represent any governmental or public agency and is speaking solely on behalf of himself. In reference to 2.5 million tons (s/b cu. yds) soil, there was some discussion back in November of last year, and there was some approval process by the City of LA Building Department, and the document that was used by the Consultant (to Republic) to establish the horizontal and vertical boundaries of the landfill using drawings that were provided in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR). I was questioning the Conditional Use Permit issued by the County and the Land Use Permit issued by the City of LA. It has its own drawing of its horizontal and vertical boundaries, and those drawings were approved ultimately in 2007. The drawing that the Consultant has used and referenced was prepared in 1997, in other words 10 years earlier, so I don’t know whether the boundaries or the elevations that were used in the EIR back in 1997 are the same as the contour map and the drawing that was included in the Conditional Use Permit which was approved by the County (Public Works) and the County Board of Supervisors. That would impact the final design of the landfill and that should be an issue that could be addressed by the City, the County, and Republic. I would recommend for this Committee to follow up on that issue and get an answer..”.

Mr. Hunter stated that “refreshing the Committee’s memory; the documents were posted in your document package regarding the toe berm. We did raise the issue at the last meeting (November), and if you look under your Minutes under C.8 when Tim (Fargo) was making a report, we had Mr. Nguyen from County Public Works, (say) they weren’t aware of the application et cetera, and there was a series of issues, and I think that is what Mr. Mohajer is raising; the issue

that there is a difference in documentation supplied to make the request. Indeed, the City did not notify the County as they are required to do. The County needs to make approval, but basically he (Mr. Mohajer) is asking us to inquire as to the status, given the information that we have.”

**MOTION** (by Mr. Hunter, seconded by Ms. Pietraszko): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee will write a letter to responsible parties to have them address different issues regarding the toe berm and its permitting.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

Mr. Hunter asked “how the landfill has held up with all this rain; pretty substantial amounts of rain, and knowing that they had received 26-inches so far during the season and 13-inches in January alone?” Ms. Downey replied that “generally prior to this storm event they were doing quite well; this past 8-inches in one day which was quite a challenge for us; we have done a lot of boots-on-the-ground making repairs, and addressing any of the areas that didn’t hold up so well; we are getting back on track and the dry weather today certainly helped.”

Mr. Hunter asked if knowing that Ms. Downey was reporting on November-December activity if (in January) there were any City or private person’s trash held up, any incoming trash or the long-haul trucks early in the morning. Ms. Downey replied that “not to her knowledge; we try to work with our internal transportation, and City transfer stations; just general delays right now as they are busy, as are all the landfills.. ...we are working with them to get it through as soon as possible”. Mr. Hunter stated that “the trash is wet, and we know that is going to give you methane gas problems for sure; it has already started, and we know that it is going to be substantial toward the end of January because it takes a week or so to soak in (rain), and we a kind of expecting that.”

Ms. Meg Volk asked Ms. Kate Downey “what is the difference between a wet delivery system and a vapor system that you are experimenting with or trying out?” Ms. Downey replied that “you might have noticed on our ridgeline and entrance; we have employed what we call a wet system; there is a water mist that is delivered through a pipe that you can see, and the neutralizer is mixed with water and delivered like a misting system; you will see those used in hot weather climates and restaurants for cooling, and it looks very similar to that; the vapor or dry system does not use any water for the delivery and it uses... basically it vaporizes the neutralizer/chemical and makes the particle size so small that it is not visible the way that a wet system is....”.

- 2. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)** to report on odor complaints received and NOVs issued including any year-to-date charts, frequency of Inspector response to reports, and any other matters within their purview.

John Anderson, Air Quality Analyst and Compliance Supervisor, [909-396-2499; [JAnderson@aqmd.gov](mailto:JAnderson@aqmd.gov); [www.aqmd.gov](http://www.aqmd.gov)], reported that Larry Israel, former Compliance Lead Inspector, was promoted to Supervisor; that Larry does not report to him anymore, and he (John) no longer has Sunshine Canyon Landfill in his purview; Amanda Sanders is not here today to provide a report as she had a family emergency, and that he would make the report; Jack Chang is no longer Senior Enforcement Manager; the new Senior Enforcement Manager is Devore (Dee) Celeste, and she is also out this week; people are using up their leave-time or they will lose it. Mr. Anderson reviewed the four pages from the AQMD's website; under the Chart of Complaints Reported to AQMD by Year he commented that "since 2005 and ending in December 2022, you can see that it does definitely start to trend up, since the Order for Abatement took them down in the 2018 timeframe, and 653 (odor reports) is where we ended up in 2022". He went on to further review and explain the 12 Notices of Violation (NOVs) issued by the AQMD in 2022 under Rule 402 for Public Nuisance and California Health & Safety Rule 41700. He next reviewed the Complaints by Month, each of which shows the date reported, time received, general location, code for response/type of odor detected; he noted no field response or NRF can result because the AQMD works 4-day work weeks, 10-hour /day, Tuesday thru Friday; we try if possible to respond even if only one (1) report comes in; sometime we can't do that because we are short-handed, or have other priorities; we try to follow up with a phone call; for off-hour complaints, we will attempt to find an inspector after-hours if we get three (3) or more odor complaints within a 1-hour period; this community has been blessed because Larry (Israel) lives close by and it able to respond.

Mr. Hunter stated that in Larry's absence he would not expect Mr. Anderson to go over each month (November, December) but noted for the record that "he was receiving complaints yesterday about the lack of Inspector responses to odor complaints, and that they were getting calls from the Inspector asking if they were still smelling it because the Inspector did not want to come out; the AQMD knows that we (residents) have to have an Inspector validate the complaints because in the past BFI/Republic has claimed that people were just calling these reports in, that it wasn't for real, that they were just trying to make trouble et cetera; as far as the NOVs are concerned they are just a cost of doing business; they are \$10,000 per occurrence and the AQMD has settled for \$8,800 - \$9,000 (each) which to them is chump-change". Mr. Hunter further stated that "he wished Larry had been here for this meeting, so that we could thank him personally for the job he has done, and I don't think the AQMD has anybody that can fill his shoes, and if you are going to rely on Inspectors who are not in the San Fernando Valley, Santa Clarita Valley or some place close, so that they can respond to these things (odor complaints) timely, we are going to have a major problem." Mr. Anderson acknowledged the statements and said "we (AQMD) are absolutely aware of that; we have hired a bunch of new Inspectors, but again, new Inspectors that do need to be trained, and we do have some people who do not live as close as Larry but would certainly live.. I live in Diamond Bar and it would take me 90-minutes to get



there (landfill) if somebody called after hours; I am hoping we can do better than that, and we certainly want to do better than that during the work-week; short staffed as we have been it has been a challenge..... the fines (for NOVs) are set by statute... ..I will definitely be passing this feedback to our Terrance Mann, Deputy Executive Officer.”

Mr. Hunter also thanked Mr. Anderson for his service saying that they CAC might not realize that I relied on you, especially to getting these reports posted (on AQMD website) timely, knowing that you have to go out and beat the bushes to get them posted prior to our meetings; .. that you have been consistent through this period of time and have been helpful in getting this (information) out.”

Long-time resident Meg Volk stated that she ““had been in the neighborhood for 32-years and the dump was not open when she moved in but reopened in 1995; we have now become the monitors, like a hall-monitor for the landfill; my husband comes back from a walk in the park (O’Melveny) and it says it stinks a lot, and I have to go and call neighbors because its 6:30 a.m., its cold and windy, and tell them if they are outside and notice it to call it in; it becomes a huge burden on us, and if we are in O’Melveny Park or Bee Canyon Park, there is not an address where an Inspector can come to; therefore we could be in O’Melveny for 45-minutes and smelling the stench from the landfill, and there is nothing that we can do about it except get home and call (AQMD), and say somebody needs to come check that”. I will say that there is a new gal by the name of “Cloe”; one of the (Republic) investigators on-site who walks around; she is very responsive; she does have her windows rolled down; she does walk in O’Melveny; I saw her the other day and mentioned it to her and gave her the timeframe and she said she would report it, and she is probably doing that; however, in the past (pause) yesterday I called in twice because there was a horrible stench at 7:50 in the morning and again at 5:30 (p.m.). Gerardo is the new Inspector (AQMD), he is in Northridge; he is close training a new Inspector and so he couldn’t get here in time, by the time he arrived 30 or 40-minutes later, the wind has changed, and he can’t verify it; I believe he did verify 6 (odor complaints) yesterday. ““

Mr. Anderson confirmed Gerado did come out, that he went out after hours, and that he was training “Jose”, one of the new AQMD Inspectors; that as he mentioned before the AQMD is short staffed and that training takes 1-1/2 years. Ms. Volk added that persons on the CAC or those that who do not live here, do not appreciate the burden of monitoring the landfill, of calling in odors, and that it is a problem, if you have to leave for work after reporting an odor because the AQMD requires a person to be home in order to verify the odor at your home; we need another Notice (Order) of Abatement.

Ms. Keren Waters stated that “as an O’Melveny hiker, and even though not living directly under the landfill, depending on which way that wind blows (unintelligible) outdoor offices walking the area you can smell it (the odors); I do have a suggestion... .. I did meet a couple of the crew people (R&P) in O’Melveny, and I



wondered if they could be that point of contact for the referral because the house (ranch house/R&P maintenance office) burned down so the Park Ranger is no longer living there, but there is one main crew person (Alex) who is there at that location (maintenance shed and restrooms).. ... maybe they (R&P) could get that word out about the stench at one of those knolls (low hills); it's tough to be hiking with that respiratory ick".

Mr. Anderson stated that he understood the cell phone reception in the neighborhood was kind of spotty, and asked if there was any cell phone reception while on these hikes. Ms. Volk indicated that she could get cell phone reception at a certain point in the park, but that the problem was that the AQMD requires an address. Mr. Anderson stated that the AQMD is reluctant to go after a report if it is not a person's residence or place of work. Ms. Waters stated that Ms. M. Martinez is the contact person for the park; that she works with her through Councilman Lee's office, and would be a place to start. Ms. Volk suggested Ms. M. Gomez the R&P person who takes care of Bee Canyon Park in particular and can be reached at 818 368-8343. Mr. Hunter commented that he believed that the City of Los Angeles should not be permitting the landfill to impact the parks, and that he would be happy to draft a letter to R&P asking for what their position is on the odor problem, and what rules and regulations they may have that would not permit this to occur and that he would run a draft by the Committee. Ms. Waters further commented that the park had shown a large increase in attendance over the COVID period.

Guest Mr. Glenn Bailey suggested looking into using the emergency locator app "whatthree words" (world divided into 57 trillion 10'x10' squares each with a unique 3-word address – free to emergency services) to indicate specific locations, or putting markers in the park so that people could reference them when calling in. Mr. Anderson said that for the AQMD "it is not necessarily a matter of being able to pinpoint or locate, but as explained to him, it was "standing"; the "standing" is your house, that is where you live or your job, you have got to be there; if you are out walking around someplace that is an option."

**3. Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency (SCL-LEA) to report on any SCL matters within their purview.**

Jose Gutierrez reported that no NOVs [Notices of Violation] were issued.

**4. Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) to report on any SCL matters within their purview. Chair to review ECORP Consulting Inc., Data Review Report & Health Risk Assessment Report status.**

Andrew Modugno, Environmental Assessment Coordinator, LAUSD Ofc. of Environmental Health & Safety (OHS) [213-241-3926; [Bill.Piazza@lausd.net](mailto:Bill.Piazza@lausd.net)], introduced himself, and reminded the Committee that Bill Piazza had resigned. Mr. Hunter reminded the Committee that they had previously approved the

ECORP report at the November 10, 2022 , and that a draft cover letter had been sent to them for review on January 9, 2023; that there had been no additions, corrections or deletions, and that he would be making a distribution of the report to to the AQMD and County Public Health as both hard copies/pdfs and to themselves and the distribution list as pdfs after this meeting.

**5. County Department of Public Works** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

David Nguyen, Civil Engineer, L.A. County Dept. of Public Works [626-458-5189; [DNgyuen@dpw.LACounty.gov](mailto:DNgyuen@dpw.LACounty.gov); [www.dpw.LACounty.gov](http://www.dpw.LACounty.gov)], reported that they are requiring the Landfill to cover the working face during weekends and will continue to monitor it. Mr. Hunter asked why County Public Works was allowing the Landfill to continue operations after the Landfill was told to “cease and desist” accepting daily trash and soil tonnage in excess of the 12,100 tons/day the Landfill is permitted to accept. Mr. Nguyen said that, before taking action, County Public Works asked the Landfill for more information regarding the toe berm project. Mr. Hunter said he will raise the issue at the Integrated Waste Management Task Force Facility & Plan Review Subcommittee and that he should be prepared to address the situation.

**6. County Regional Planning Department & County Public Works** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Edgar De La Torre, Zoning Enforcement Planner, L.A. County Regional Planning Dept. [213-974-6453; [EDeLaTorre@planning.LACounty.gov](mailto:EDeLaTorre@planning.LACounty.gov); <http://planning.LACounty.gov>], reported that Regional Planning is working with the City regarding the toe berm project.

**7. County Public Health Department to report** on any SCL matters within their purview.

Mr. Hunter will work to get a representative to attend.

**8. City Planning Department** to report on SCL matters within their purview.

Tim Fargo, Planner, L.A. City Planning Dept. (818-374-9911; [Tim.Fargo@LACity.org](mailto:Tim.Fargo@LACity.org); [www.planning.LACity.org](http://www.planning.LACity.org)), reported that “the Permit (for the Toe Berm) hasn’t been issued yet . . . “we’ve been having conversations about it.”

**9. Other persons representing the City, County or State** who wish to report any additional information or subject matter relating to SCL that is within their purview that has not been agendized for this meeting. If necessary, discussion and action will be agendized for another meeting.

Sharon Bronson, a Field Deputy for L.A. City District 12 Councilman John Lee (818-882-1212; Sharon.Bronson@LACity.org; www.CD12.org], had reported Mr. Fisk's road repair request to Street Services.

Blake Clayton, of L.A. County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl's Office, announced that he is transferring to another department and will work to get another County Supervisor representative to attend.

Mr. Fisk reported that he talked with County Supervisor Lindsey Horvath staff regarding a representative attending CAC meetings.

**D. Committee Member and Public comments** on items NOT on the Agenda.  
There were no comments at this time.

**E. Set next meeting date** (March 9, 2022). Motion: Whereas meeting in person would continue to present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and that State and/or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing we approve the continued use of Zoom for our meetings. Adjourn at 5:00 p.m.

Mr. Hunter announced that the next Meeting will be online March 9, 2022 at 3:00 p.m.

**MOTION** (by Ms. Pietraszko, seconded by Ms. Caspi): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee resolves that, whereas meeting in person would continue to present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and that State and/or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing, we approve the continued use of Zoom for our meetings.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**F. Special Overtime Discussion of Budget, Banking and Financial Matters.**

Mr. Hunter indicated that there was no more discussion.

Mr. Hunter declared and the Committee agreed to **ADJOURN** the Meeting at 4:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

David Levin, Note Taker (Minutes Writer). Edited by SCL-CAC. *The first paragraph of some Items, Motions/Resolutions and other wording may have been directly copied from the Agenda.* The SCL-CAC Meeting Minutes page is <http://scl-cac.org/agendas-minutes>.