

**SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL – COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA  
MINUTES OF MEETING, Thursday, May 12, 2022  
DRAFT Minutes [to be replaced by “Approved \_[Month]\_ \_[date]\_, 201\_ \_[year]\_”]**

In conformity with the Governor’s Executive Order N-29-20 (March 17, 2020) and due to concerns over COVID-19, this meeting was conducted online and telephonically.

Members: Jeanette Capaldi (Vice-Chair), Laine Caspi (Secretary), Richard Fisk, Larry Fleck (Treasurer), Wayde Hunter (Chair & TAC Rep), Debbie Pietraszko (Parliamentarian), Keren Waters and Dr. Donna Zero.

**A. Call to Order, Roll Call by Notetaker, and Approval of March 10, 2022, Minutes (Chair).**

This meeting of the Sunshine Canyon Landfill Community Advisory Committee (SCL-CAC) was called to order at 3:01 p.m. on May 12, 2022 online by Chair Wayde Hunter. Roll Call was taken by the Note Taker. Five of the eight Committee Members were present: Jeanette Capaldi, Laine Caspi, Richard Fisk, Wayde Hunter and Keren Waters. Larry Fleck arrived later. Absent: Debbie Pietraszko and Dr. Donna Zero. A quorum of at least five Committee Members was present. One Committee Member opening was available. Landfill and government representatives present: BFI/Republic Services: Valerie Moore; SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District): Larry Israel; SCL-LEA (Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency): Dee Hanson-Lugo; L.A. County Public Health Dept.: none; L.A. County Public Works Dept.: David Nguyen; L.A. County Regional Planning Dept.: Diana Gonzalez and Alex Garcia; L.A. County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl’s Office: none; L.A. County Supervisor Kathryn Barger’s Office: Jason Maruca; L.A. City Councilman John Lee’s Office: Sharon Bronson; L.A. City Planning Dept.: Kristine Jegalian; and LAUSD District 3: Bill Piazza. Also attended: at least eight residents and other guests. A Balance Sheet, Reconciliation Detail and other related SCL-CAC and Landfill documents were available at <https://scl-cac.org> and slides were shown.

**MOTION** (by Ms. Waters, seconded by Mr. Hunter): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the Minutes of its March 10, 2022 Meeting as written.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**B. Old Business: Discussion and Committee motions & possible action:**

- Outstanding administrative matters (Chair/Vice Chair).  
Mr. Hunter and Ms. Capaldi indicated that there were no such matters.
  
- Treasurer’s Report, March 2022 – April 2022 (Treasurer).  
Mr. Hunter reviewed finances. The “Business Checking Account (for Expenses)” beginning balance was \$42,256.44. Three checks were written on that account: March 10th check #1218 for \$4,960 to ECORP for their January consulting fee;

March 11th check #1219 for \$180 for January 2022 Minutes writing to David Levin; and April 13<sup>th</sup> check #1220 for \$16,875 to ECORP for their February – March consulting fee; the ending balance was \$20,241.44. The “Business Savings Account (for Consultants)” beginning balance was \$61,445.33; \$2.68 in interest was accrued which included \$1.62 from January – February which was not posted to the March financial report as it was not available; the ending balance was \$61,448.01. One more payment is due to ECORP as the contract was for \$46,070. The “Business Checking Account (for Consultants)” beginning and ending balances both were \$10,014.98. There was \$100 in Petty Cash. There was \$682 in Other Assets. The total beginning balance for all accounts as of March 1, 2022, was \$114,498.75; debits were \$ 22,015.00 and deposits were \$2.68; the total ending balance for all accounts was \$92,486.43 as of April 30, 2022, per Treasurer Mr. Fleck and Mr. Hunter.

**MOTION** (by Ms. Caspi, seconded by Mr. Fisk): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the March and April financial statement as presented.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**C. New Business.** Discussion and possible Committee motions & action to address the following:

- 1. Browning-Ferris Industries/Republic Services Inc. (BFI/Republic)** to report on any and all Sunshine Canyon Landfill daily activities, state of infrastructure/gas collection system, notable events, current and future disposal operations, including continuing efforts to abate odors.

Valerie Moore, Environmental Team Manager, BFI/Republic [24-hour Landfill hotline 818-779-9170; main 818-362-2124; [Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com](mailto:Info@SunshineCanyonLandfill.com); <http://SunshineCanyonLandfill.com>], gave an SCL “Community Advisory Committee (CAC) Update” as follows. Ms. Moore agreed to provide the notes from her presentation to Mr. Hunter.

“Operations: Over the last two months, filling operations have been occurring in CC4, but we have recently moved back to 3A and 3B. This took months of planning because we had to coordinate Gas Construction Projects for Cell 4A as well as prepare 3A/B area to accept waste . . . we are currently back in 3A and 3B filling in those areas. We have not had to move to a wet-weather deck because it has been relatively dry since the last meeting. We continue to use the Enviro-Cover for ADC and we monitor the ADC cover performance daily, and we have not observed any issues with the Enviro-Cover to date.

“Construction: . . . We have received 17.27” of rain here at the site. In April 0.24” . . . We have received our grading permits for Phases 1 & 2 of the Toe Berm project. We are still in the review process for Phases 3, 4 & 5 with the City and we were expecting approvals in early Q2, but we probably need to push that out a bit as it is taking a little longer than expected. I am sure that Chris (Coyle) has mentioned this at these meeting before, but this is a very important because it

enhances our odor controls on site as well as it reduces the visual impact when you are looking at the site up the canyon. Currently we have our contractor installing some permanent drainage structures to help with stormwater control. We are at the final stages of cell construction (Part 4A/B); we are almost complete, and next week we are putting down the final layers for our final tie-ins; we will begin placing protective soil cover next week. Maintenance is currently in a temporary location while we wait on permits from the County for earthwork, and again, this project is within the already approved grading limits. We just currently filled the vacant Maintenance Manager position at the site; Brian Diaz was welcomed to the team on Tuesday. So, he comes to us with a wealth of heavy equipment experience and we are excited to have him join our team here at Sunshine.”

“Odor Complaints: We have improved our results; we are happy with the improvement, but we know we still have a ways to go and would always like to see those numbers at zero. From March to April, we have had 58 complaints in March and 38 in April. We have added a new odor neutralizer unit (sic) on a pilot program here at the site, so we are testing to test and evaluating the effectiveness of this product... of these units. They are placed near the working face to attempt to control the odors at the source. So, we are hopeful that these units will give us more protection, and we are in the testing and evaluation phase of that now. We continue to utilize our Odor Patrol Team, and the patrols are rolling very smoothly. The Team is in the neighborhood as you guys know, first thing in the mornings, and throughout the mornings during the early hours of operations, then we move to spot checks throughout the day. As they notice odors, they relay that information back to the site and we adjust the operations based on that feedback. The winds have pretty much been unfavorable at the start of each day, and then they generally turn favorable usually by mid-morning... that’s what we have been generally experiencing lately. We have had a couple days where the winds have started favorable and then they continued to stay favorable for the most part of the day, but we are continuously checking the weather, and there have been days when we have sent the Team back out if needed due to a switch in the weather and wind direction. Our 2022 Gas Construction activities are underway. We are currently completing, including the completion of about 400 feet of 24-in header in CC4-P3. We have started drilling the first phase of vertical wells, with a schedule of about 42 wells to be installed this year. We have also started installation of a horizontal collection system in CC4-P4A. These projects will continue through the summer. As the new cell construction moves to completion, we’ve added additional floor collectors which will be installed to help prevent odors and increase flow, but this is something to start moving forward as we construct these cells, we are going to look at them and see if additional floor collectors are needed during the construction phase. We continue to move through the permitting process for the installation of Flare 12 with an expected install completion target of this year, pending approvals.”

Mr. Hunter asked Ms. Moore’s when she spoke of Phase 1 & 2 of the Toe Berm, did she know where they are getting the dirt from to construct this, at this point in time? Ms. Moore stated that “there are various construction sites that are

constantly changing, so we wait to hear from “dirt brokers” to see what construction projects are going on, and that dirt has to be sampled and meet certain requirement before we are able to bring anything on site.”” Mr. Hunter stated that “it was a very big project, and that you might have, at least at this point, secured a large volume of dirt from somewhere in spite of your plan. The other thing is how are you guys getting your dirt to put on the daily cover on the weekends?” Ms. Moore responded that “right now we are still a dirt-poor site. We’re importing as much as our CUP is allowing, at this time. Unfortunately, we are falling a little short, so on Saturday’s it has been partial ADC, (and) partial soil. But, hopefully, this Saturday it looks like we will be able to cover with soil this Saturday... it has been a challenge.” Mr. Hunter opined that the trash business is rather incestuous and asked if Brian Diaz, the new Maintenance Manager, came from another waste company and had previous experience that she was aware of. Ms. Moore responded that he has previous “equipment” experience but was not aware of his background as she was not on the interviewing team. Mr. Hunter stated that it was an “unfair” question as previous landfill experience was not necessary when it comes to “equipment” experience.

*Committee Member Larry Fleck arrived online at this time (3:23), making six Committee Members present (the SCL-CAC quorum is five).*

**2. South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)** to report on odor complaints received and NOVs issued including any year-to-date charts, frequency of Inspector response to reports, and any other matters within their purview.

Larry Israel, Compliance Lead Inspector, SCAQMD [909-396-2370; [LIsrael@aqmd.gov](mailto:LIsrael@aqmd.gov); [www.aqmd.gov](http://www.aqmd.gov)], reported that “in March, there were 58 complaints received; no Notices of Violation (NOVs) were issued; of the 58 received, 12 were verified, and 7 of those received were from the Legends complex which is across the freeway in Sylmar. We typically haven’t been getting too many complaints from there... that was quite a lot. Generally, the wind has to be pretty stagnant or more westerly for those folks to be impacted; they came in primarily in the early evening hours. There were a lot of complaints that were not responded to in the month of March.... I was gone for about eight days, and it seems that (during) the time I was gone, that the majority of the complaints were received (then), but there were other Inspectors that responded and as far as I know there was no significant verification made. In the month of April, there were 38 complaints received; was one NOV verified on Friday, April 8<sup>th</sup> ... there were eight trash odor complaints; those were verified in the morning hours between 7:30 a.m. and 9:45 a.m.; that particular episode/complaints were strictly in Granada Hills in the vicinity of Van Gogh Street, Nannette Street, Lissette Street, Constable Avenue and Canyon Ridge; .... those were trash odors, and that was the only real episode for April. For the month of May so far we have received 12 complaints, and two have been verified; of the 12, two were from the Legends on May 4<sup>th</sup> in the evening hours around 7 or 8 o’clock at night but nobody (Inspector) responded to those; which means that so far this year we have received nine complaints from the Legends, which is quite a lot; we haven’t seen that for quite a

while... at least since last summer. We did our Title 5 Inspection, and there were no issues there.” Mr. Israel explained that Title 5 “is the Federally mandated permit; in the past we (AQMD) used to issue “individual” permits for all the equipment that produces air pollution, and they (AQMD) went to a Federally mandated permitting system 20 years ago; so it’s a pretty thick permit that covers everything that can generate air contaminants or air pollution at the landfill; so you have to have a certain amount of contaminants to fall under Title 5; e.g., all landfills, power plants, refineries.”

Mr. Israel clarified that “there were 15 (complaints) verified in April.” He volunteered that he had expected questions from Mr. Hunter regarding April and that “prior to the violation issued on April 8<sup>TH</sup>, there were heavy rains on Monday, March 28<sup>th</sup> and then throughout the week of the violation; we had temperatures in the 90’s, almost triple digits; it was one of the heat wave weeks.” Mr. Hunter stated that “he was more concerned that he had missed the Cascades and was kicking himself.... because the Cascades at one point in time were some of the biggest complainers that we had... then OK, they (Republic) have done some improvements.... What has changed, what is different, why had the complaints gone down at the Cascades and why were they now going up... we like to know how many you (AQMD) validate, that’s good information for us.” Mr. Israel stated that “unfortunately we need three complaints in one hour, in the after hours, and they typically come in the evening; they have always kind of been that way, and so we just did not have enough in that period of time I would like to go out and investigate, but it was just too spread out; and I know that some people have moved away who have called in the past, so these are some newer people, which is unsettling that they know to call us now, and they are being impacted; but it’s hard to reach some of these people that don’t actually call back or respond when I call them to physically go out there; most people work during the day; I don’t have too much info on that.”

Resident Meg Volk asked that, when Mr. Israel is not available and the other Inspectors (AQMD) are not available, is there any recourse to the community that is calling in all the odors and there is no field response (noted as NFR on AQMD monthly reports) which has been a problem throughout the years. How many Inspectors do we have, and what can we do about it? Mr. Israel stated that “you are asking the wrong person; my backup for part of the time I was gone does live in the Valley but he has been assigned to the City of Los Angeles... the Westside, so that may have been a reason for the delay in the response there; if he is not available the next person we would have to notify either lives in Ontario or has to commute from somewhere else in LA County; Lilly is her name, and it takes her quite a long time.... I heard 2 hours to get there... it’s something we (AQMD) have struggled with... it’s quite expensive for us. What we are hearing is a lot of our new hires can’t afford to live in Los Angeles; they have to live where they can afford and so a lot of them live 50 miles further; I agree, there is no simple remedy for it.”

**3. Sunshine Canyon Landfill-Local Enforcement Agency (SCL-LEA) to report on any SCL matters within their purview.**



Dee Hanson-Lugo, SCL-LEA Program Manager [213.252.3932; [DLugo@ph.LACounty.gov](mailto:DLugo@ph.LACounty.gov); [www.SCLLEA.org](http://www.SCLLEA.org)], reported that “the LEA . . . is to inspect Sunshine Canyon Landfill pursuant to California Code of Regulation, Title 14 and Title 27; since the last CAC meeting, the LEA has issued no violations (NOVs) to the landfill operator; the LEA Board will be having a special virtual meeting in May and a Board of Directors meeting in June where we will discuss budget.” Mr. Hunter reaffirmed that the June date is the one that interests the community and not the May meeting which is only a reaffirmation of the intent to meet virtually. He noted that, even though the community has problems with the landfill, that the LEA only enforces State rules, and that they don’t get involved in land-use type of things.

**4. Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD)** to discuss the CAC’s progress with ECORP to Review/Evaluate Air Quality Data for the 11th Annual Ambient Air Monitoring Reports for SCL & Van Gogh School and associated Quarterly Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Reports.

Bill Piazza, Environmental Assessment Coordinator, LAUSD Ofc. of Environmental Health & Safety (OHS) [213.241.3926; [Bill.Piazza@lausd.net](mailto:Bill.Piazza@lausd.net)], reported that “Wayde and I received a draft copy of the health risk assessment last week. I have finally began my review the other day; it will take me a few days to actually go through the very technical aspect of it, look at the modelling files, the metrological data. I haven’t spent much time on the text at this point and peruse it, and I know Wayde did and had some general comments, and we will discuss those with the consultant later. I didn’t have any objection Wayde to some of the stuff that you mentioned; there is formatting issues, there is more clarity that we need to have in the report, but again I am going through the technical aspect of it and the dispersion modelling and the metrological data that they used; so, my review will take another few days before I get done with it. Wayde and I will set up a time to meet with ECORP, and go through those changes but I do not want to spend a lot of time with them . . . We will send them a list to go ahead and make another draft available for our review. The results show clearly . . . that there is an impact from landfill operations both in terms of particulates and diesel particulate matter; so that was something that we expected to see, anticipated to see, and we did see; my review though I think, it will show probably a lesser degree . . . but I believe that we will clearly see that the landfill does have an impact on the local community on the assessment methodology that we employed; I trust we will have a July meeting.”

Mr. Hunter also wanted to know whether ECORP would be ready to make a presentation in July or September. There was discussion of the logistics. Mr. Piazza announced that he is retiring and that technically the May meeting would be his last, however, he has been given permission by his management to continue through July. Mr. Hunter stated that “it would be our objective to have it finished, supplied to the Committee, and to bring it (to the July meeting), because he needs to agendize it.”

**5. County Public Works** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

David Nguyen, Civil Engineer, L.A. County Dept. of Public Works [626.458.5189; [DNgyuen@dpw.LACounty.gov](mailto:DNgyuen@dpw.LACounty.gov); [www.dpw.LACounty.gov](http://www.dpw.LACounty.gov)], reported that “for those that might not be aware the landfill is supposed to cover the working face with ADC Monday to Friday, and with six inches of soil on the weekends; unfortunately, the landfill was out of compliance of this requirement, so therefore we have referred this matter to the Department of (County) Regional Planning for the enforcement action on April 11, 2022; and currently this matter is with Regional Planning for enforcement (of NOV); going forward we will continue to inspect the landfill for compliance and provide needed support to Regional Planning to carry out its enforcement function.” Mr. Hunter said that he had requested the County to provide documentation; they referred the request to Regional Planning. County Counsel opined that they did not want to do that but would make it evident in the Minutes at the next Task Force Meeting. Mr. Hunter replied that their email to him regarding the referral would suffice; Mr. Nguyen concurred

Mr. Nguyen said that, as of yet, no one had replaced retiring Civil Engineer Martins Aiyetewa; Mr. Nguyen is acting Department Head. Also, Division Head Coby Skye was promoted; Amiko Thompson replaced him.

**6. County Regional Planning Department** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Diana Gonzalez, of the L.A. County Regional Planning Dept. [213-974-6453; [DGonzalez@planning.LACounty.gov](mailto:DGonzalez@planning.LACounty.gov); <http://planning.LACounty.gov>], reported that “an NOV was issued on April 28<sup>th</sup> at the request of (County) Public Works; the NOV was issued for non-compliance, and was directed to correct the working face with soil on the weekends, but they do have a meeting with the operator and Public Works next week to discuss this issue, and our next step will depend on the outcome of the meeting.” Ms. Gonzalez agreed to Mr. Hunter’s request for a copy of the NOV for the CAC.

Alex Garcia, Supervisor, Zoning Special Project Section, stated that “we (County Regional Planning Department) are the holders of the Conditional Use Permit (CUP); with the holder of the CUP there are additional responsibilities for us, is to serve as an enforcement mechanism for other agencies when the operator is in non-compliance with some of the directives (or) some of the conditions; so, in this case what happened is that there was a directive from Public Works and Public Works referred it to us for enforcement; the way it works, we process it for the enforcement side . . . as Diana said, we are communicating next week with the operator and then we will decide which way we will go from there if there is any conversations on how to comply that will be addressed by Public Works. But with regards to the mechanism of enforcing it, is Regional Planning.” Mr. Hunter noted for the record that “he has stirred the pot and tried to keep the County moving on this issue of non-compliance, of not putting dirt on the weekends; I’ve done my best to get some action. . . . I have done my very best for the community to get some resolution to the problem.”

**7. County Public Health Department** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

No representative was present; there was no report.

**8. City Planning Department** to report on any SCL matters within their purview.

Kristine Jegalian, Planner, L.A. City Planning Dept. (818-374-5046; [Kristine.Jegalian@LACity.org](mailto:Kristine.Jegalian@LACity.org); [www.planning.LACity.org](http://www.planning.LACity.org)), indicated that there was no report. Mr. Hunter asked if there was anybody who was representing and/or replacing Nick Hendricks, who has represented City Planning at the CAC, but who was now out of the office indefinitely. Ms. Jegalian replied that it was Tim Fargo, at least for now, and confirmed that he was getting a copy of the CAC Agendas.

**9. Other persons representing the City, County or State** who wish to report any additional information or subject matter relating to SCL that is within their purview that has not been agendized for this meeting. If necessary, discussion and action will be agendized for another meeting.

Sharon Bronson, CD12, a Field Deputy for L.A. City District 12 Councilman John Lee (818-882-1212; [Sharon.Bronson@LACity.org](mailto:Sharon.Bronson@LACity.org); [www.CD12.org](http://www.CD12.org)], indicated that she had no comments at this time. Ms. Waters expressed her sorrow but best wishes to Field Deputy Matt Vallecilla, who is leaving.

Mr. Hunter called on Jason Maruca, who had been in attendance and representing Supervisor Kathryn Barger's office for the 5<sup>th</sup> District but had just stepped out. He then called on Ms. Kuehl's 3<sup>rd</sup> District representative but received no response. He then stated that he "had sent the Committee a copy (of an email); I have been trying to get Supervisor Kuehl's people to attend since we are now in (District) 3 and not District 5. I was assured the last time... I did it rather late, that they could not attend, hence not a problem.... They assured me that they would have somebody in attendance at this (May) meeting. I got an email from Jessica Orellano who is the District Director for Sheila Kuehl's Office, San Fernando Valley District Office and it said: "Good afternoon Wayde, I hope all is well. I was informed by our Public Works Deputy that all the appropriate county department experts have been recruited to attend this meeting. Therefore, our office will not be in attendance." Mr. Hunter sent the Board a copy of his response:

"Sorry but I am confused. The District Supervisor representative's attendance has never been contingent upon whether or not "appropriate County department experts have been recruited to attend this meeting." I am afraid the Public Works Deputy is mistaken. Further, there is no guarantee that all agencies will show up. For the SCL-CAC, we believe that it is incumbent upon District 3 having a representative present so that they can hear the issues with the landfill for themselves, and also to be able to address the public who are also in attendance. They want to know that their new Supervisor is look(ing) out for them. Again, respectfully, we would appreciate the 3rd District having a representative present



for the Zoom meeting. Please let me know who that might be.” He reported that Ms. Orellano failed to respond to him, and believed that speaks volumes to how the CAC is being thought about by Sheila Kuehl’s Office now that we are in District 3. Ms. Caspi stated that she is “retiring pretty soon.” Mr. Hunter said that he understood; that “all it took was somebody to basically make attendance at a Zoom meeting for two hours... that’s all it took, and I was very plain... I sent them a lot of information, updated information because appointments were coming up, and did everything that I could.”

Ms. Waters stated that she “believed that speaking for herself, what has been happening in the community with the representative from Ms. Kuehl’s office have been questioned about the lack of response to those who have become ill due to Sunshine (Aliso?) Canyon and I was at Porter Ranch last night and they were questioned about the same, so it is just my feeling that they don’t attend because they don’t want to be questioned about the people who have been sickened by Aliso Canyon; so that is the general consensus and I believe Glenn (Bailey) may say something similar.” Mr. Bailey stated that “it might be so, but this is a pretty nice group, at least virtually; maybe it was a little more rough and tumble in person; but what I was going to say is that they are attending meetings of the new areas in their District; they go to a number of meetings, and they are sending deputies there to the new areas in the North Valley; they have a weird way, my word, of defining West Valley; most of us see West Valley as being west of the 405, but they define West Valley as being everything west of Northridge; so, they have included Northridge as part of their Van Nuys Office.... Jessica is with that Van Nuys Office; so I think you reached the right person.... but, I did want to suggest, you may have already covered this, they have an Environmental Deputy; I know they are assigning people on geographical assignments, but they also have folks, so I was wondering if Tessa Charnofsky is on your list?”

Mr. Hunter responded that he “did have conversations with Jessica (Orellano) and one of the problems that came up was because the landfill has a Sylmar address they assigned it to Sylmar, and I said no, it’s a mailing address, the landfill is in Granada Hills and they said that it needed to come down to the Van Nuys Office; so, I was assured that somebody would be in attendance... this is a Zoom meeting, it’s not rocket science... give us a couple of hours even if it is just to make a show... and I was disappointed in the argument they made that because County personnel were in attendance they didn’t need to attend... it’s not the point... they do need to attend, and it’s not anything to do with the other County Departments; and I was quite clear.... You can obviously tell that I was quite upset... we’ve basically got the middle finger here, OK, which I don’t think we deserve; we turned around, we contacted them at the Office, we talked about appointments... everything like that; we gave them information, and worked with people to get them there; I understood why they couldn’t make the previous meeting, my fault not theirs, but this is their fault... I also heard the comment at the GHNNC meeting that they were not in attendance at Aliso Canyon and were basically blowing them off.... I want the public to know that Sheila Kuehl, whose office now represents our community, can’t be bothered to turn around and attend a two-hour Zoom meeting. I want the people to know that I have done my best to

get them here and basically I have got the middle finger, and so has the community; I want them to know that, and I don't care what excuse they have... that was the final excuse they offered me for not bothering to attend....."

There were no other reports at this time.

**D. Committee Member and Public comments** on items NOT on the Agenda..

There were no comments from Committee members or the Public.

**E. Set next meeting date** (July 14, 2022). Motion: Whereas meeting in person would continue to present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and that State and/or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing we approve the continued use of Zoom for our meetings. Adjourn at 5:00 p.m.

**MOTION** (by Mr. Fisk, seconded by Ms. Capaldi): the Sunshine Canyon Landfill – Community Advisory Committee approves the following Resolution: Whereas meeting in person would continue to present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, and that State and/or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing we approve the continued use of Zoom for our meetings.

**MOTION PASSED** unanimously by a voice vote; zero opposed; zero abstained.

**F. Special Overtime Discussion of Budget, Banking & Financial Matters.**

Mr. Hunter indicated that there was no more discussion.

Mr. Hunter declared and the Committee agreed to **ADJOURN** the Meeting at 4:19 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, David Levin, Note Taker (Minutes Writer). Edited by SCL-CAC. *The first paragraph of some Items, Motions/Resolutions and other wording may have been directly copied from the Agenda.* The SCL-CAC Meeting Minutes page is <http://scl-cac.org/agendas-minutes>.